Assessment of Powered Rescue Tool Capabilities with High-Strength Alloys and Composite Materials

Final Report

Prepared by: Casey C. Grant, P.E., and Brian Merrifield

© October 2011 Fire Protection Research Foundation



FIRE RESEARCH

THE FIRE PROTECTION RESEARCH FOUNDATION ONE BATTERYMARCH PARK QUINCY, MASSACHUSETTS, U.S.A. 02169-7471 E-MAIL: <u>Foundation@NFPA.org</u> WEB: <u>www.nfpa.org/Foundation</u> (This page left intentionally blank)

FOREWORD

Powered rescue tools are commonly used by emergency first responders to extricate trapped victims from crashed motor vehicles, and a large inventory of these tools exists throughout today's emergency response community. Recent years have seen improved auto manufacturing processes that have resulted in the proliferation of high-strength metal alloys and composite materials resistant to existing powered rescue tools.

This project identifies, collects, and assesses various informational aspects of this topic involving high-strength metal alloys and composite materials that are challenging the performance of the present generation of powered rescue tools. This includes consideration of vehicle extrication scenarios, clarification on the use of these high-strength materials, review of the existing field inventory of powered rescue tools, and recommendation to address identified knowledge gaps.

The Fire Protection Research Foundation expresses gratitude to members of the project Technical Panel for their guidance throughout the project, and all others who contributed to this research effort. Special thanks are expressed to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) for providing the project funding through the NFPA Annual Code Fund.

The content, opinions and conclusions contained in this report are solely those of the author.

(This page left intentionally blank)

PROJECT TECHNICAL PANEL

Jason Allen, Intertek Testing Services (Alternate Rep.)

David Anderson, American Iron and Steel Institute

Lew Austin, Chair of NFPA Technical Committee on Fire Department Rescue Tools

Brian Bishop, Intertek Testing Services

Samuel Boyden, State Farm Auto Technology Research (Alternate Rep.)

Ryan Depew, National Fire Protection Association

Joseph Drennan, Illinois Fire Service Institute

Tom Hollenstain, State Farm Auto Technology Research

Dan Jones, Chapel Hill Fire Dept

Ron Krupitzer, American Iron and Steel Institute (Alternate Rep.)

Ron Moore, Plano Texas

Scott Schmidt, Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers (Alternate Rep.)

Robert Strassburger, Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers

PROJECT SPONSOR

National Fire Protection Association

(This page left intentionally blank)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Emergency responders often deal with emergency situations that require extricating entrapped victims. Powered rescue tools are an important resource used by emergency first responders to cut, shear, bend, or otherwise remove fixed metal obstructions to complete their rescue mission. A common example is the extrication of a trapped individual following a motor vehicle crash.

Today a large inventory of powered rescue tools exists throughout the emergency response community. These tools are typically designed and tested according to the minimum specifications of NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*. Improved auto manufacturing processes have resulted in the proliferation of high-strength metal alloys and composite materials that are resistant to earlier versions of powered rescue tools still in widespread use. The quest for improved energy efficiency has motivated auto manufacturers to produce lighter, stronger vehicles, and the latest available vehicle models are increasing the challenges with regard to the effectiveness of powered rescue tools.

The goal of this project is to identify and provide an assessment of current and future extrication scenarios, especially those involving motor vehicles that use high-strength metal alloys and composite materials (carbon fiber) that are resistant to the use of the current generation of powered rescue tools. The project deliverables include a review of the literature applicable to this specific topic, a summary of identified common extrication scenarios, an assessment of the use of high-strength alloys in motor vehicles, a review of the powered rescue tools field inventory, identification of the current and perceived knowledge gaps for effective tool performance, and recommendations to address perceived effectiveness gaps.

The following are the key summary observations discussed throughout this report:

Extrication Scenarios and Tasks

- Extrication from passenger motor vehicles are the most likely scenario confronting emergency responders involving high-strength steels and composite materials that will challenge the cutting and shearing effectiveness of powered rescue tools.
- The fire service and other emergency responders need to identify alternative methods to work around extrication emergencies when the available powered rescue tools are unable to perform their intended use.
- Standard-setting bodies need to review and update the test methods currently used for evaluating powered rescue tools that cut and shear, so that they provide a meaningful distinction that informs end users of which tools will work with which types of modern vehicles.

High-Strength Alloys and Composite Materials

- Passenger motor vehicles since the 2006 through 2008 time frame have seen a noteworthy increase in the use of high-strength steels and composite materials, which can be expected to significantly challenge the effectiveness of powered rescue tools that cut and shear.
- Future use of high-strength steels and composite materials is expected to increase in future vehicles, thus, the probability that fire departments will encounter these materials also will increase.
- Practical, credible and consistent information is needed from vehicle manufacturers on the use of materials of concern to emergency responders.
- The types of steels and other materials should be categorized to simplify the materials of interest for vehicle extrication.
- Future use of new materials should be communicated on a regular basis between vehicle designers and the emergency response community.

Field Inventory of Powered Rescue Tools

- The current inventory of powered rescue tools is assumed to be extensive and three or more decades in age, based on equipment being resold from one department to another and ultimately remaining in service.
- Concern exists that a significant portion of the existing field inventory of powered rescue tools for cutting and shearing will not be effective on passenger motor vehicles manufactured later than the 2006 through 2008 time frame.
- Clarification is needed for emergency responders on the specific attributes of powered rescue tools that are important, based on sound, credibly-established technical information (i.e., not simply spun out of the marketplace).
- Consideration should be given to implementing a comprehensive survey that will realistically identify the quantity and quality of existing equipment in the field inventory of powered rescue tools.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exe Tal Su	ecutive Summary	1 3 4
1.	Introduction and Background	5
2.	Overview of Emergency Responder Powered Rescue Tools a. Standardization and Conformity Assessment b. Information Resources	7 7 11
3.	Literature Review a. Literature Review Methodology b. Implementation and Results	16 16 18
4.	Extrication Scenarios and Tasks	25
5.	High-Strength Alloys and Composite Materialsa. Background and General Principlesb. Use of Materials in Motor Vehiclesc. Use with Extrication Scenarios and Tasks	32 32 35 40
6.	Field Inventory of Powered Rescue Toolsa. Tool Types and Capabilitiesb. Collection Methods and Available Data	42 42 45
7.	Summary Observations	49
8.	Bibliography	51

SUMMARY OF FIGURES AND TABLES

- Figure 2-1: Cut Testing and Level Performance Rating Required by NFPA 1936
- Figure 3-1: Literature Review Subject Areas
- Figure 4-1: Hazardous Materials Normally Found in Conventional-Motor Vehicles
- Figure 4-2: Key Emergency Scenarios for Motor Vehicles
- Figure 4-3: Types of Motor Vehicle Collisions
- Figure 4-4: Example of Approach to Extrication & Rescue
- Figure 4-5: Summary of Basic Elements of Vehicle Rescue from NFPA 1670
- Figure 5-1: Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 1975
- Figure 5-2: Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 2007
- Figure 5-3: Types of Steels in "FutureSteelVehicles" (FSV) Program
- Figure 5-4: Typical Use of Steels in Passenger Automobiles
- Figure 5-5: Comparison of Material Properties for Types of Steel Used in Motor Vehicles
- Figure 5-6: Tensile Strength for Types of Steels Used in Motor Vehicles
- Figure 6-1: Families of Rescue Tools
- Figure 6-2: Types of Rescue Tools of Interest
- Table 2-1: Codes and Standards Documents and Developing Organizations in the United States
- Table 2-2: Summary of NFPA 1936 Testing
- Table 2-3: Selected Summary of Fire Service Organizations with Vehicle Extrication Interests
- Table 2-4: Typical North American Fire Service Meetings, Conferences and Trade Shows with

 Activities Relating to Vehicle Extrication
- Table 3-1: Literature Review Characteristics
- Table 3-2: Cross Summary of Literature Review Categories by Document Number
- Table 3-3: Summary of Literature Review Documents
- Table 5-1: Summary of Types of Steels Found in Modern Motor Vehicles
- Table 5-2: Approximate Relative Cost of Motor Vehicle Materials
- Table 6-1: Summary of Powered Rescue Tools for Cutting and Shearing

—— Page 4 of 54 ——

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Powered rescue tools are used by emergency first responders to extricate trapped victims. A common use of these tools involves rescuing victims from crashed motor vehicles, and a large inventory of these tools exists throughout today's emergency response community. Powered rescue tools are designed and tested according to the minimum specifications of NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*.¹

Improved auto manufacturing processes have resulted in the proliferation of high-strength metal alloys and composite materials that are resistant to the powered rescue tools currently in widespread use. The quest for improved energy efficiency has motivated auto manufacturers to produce lighter, stronger vehicles, and the latest available models are becoming especially problematic with regard to the effectiveness of powered rescue tools.

A specific example is the new electric vehicle Chevrolet Volt, which was cut-up at 2010 Fire-Rescue-International (IAFC Annual Meeting) in Chicago during a demonstration. The Volt was indicated as being approximately 80% high-strength metal alloys, requiring powered rescue tools with a higher level of performance.² Motor vehicles are among the most common applications seen by emergency responders using powered rescue tools, but other applications such as aircraft and rail transport are also seeing an increased use of high-strength metal alloys and composite materials that require greater effectiveness.

A powered rescue tool is defined as a "rescue tool that receives its power from the power unit component and generates the output forces of energy used to perform one or more of the functions of spreading, lifting, holding, crushing, pulling, or cutting."³ There are additional tools that can be used for extrication purposes, such as air-chisels and rotating/reciprocating saws, and they are not excluded from this study. However, due to the wide range of different tools, for practicality purposes this study is focusing on hydraulic powered rescue tools.

The goal of this project is to identify and provide an assessment of current and future extrication scenarios, especially those involving motor vehicles that use high-strength metal alloys and composite materials (carbon fiber) that are resistant to the performance of the current generation of powered rescue tools. The objectives for meeting this goal are to:

- a) Identify extrication scenarios faced by emergency responders requiring the use of powered rescue tools and prioritize according to the most common, with a special focus on motor vehicles
- b) Clarify the trends in the use of high-strength metal alloys and composite materials in those scenarios
- c) Provide a general assessment of the field inventory of powered rescue tools in use today according to age and capabilities
- d) Identify perceived gaps in the effective performance of the inventory of powered rescue tools

—— Page 5 of 54 ——

e) Recommend a plan to address these identified gaps, such as supplemental testing methods

This project is directly applicable to the requirements addressed by NFPA 1936, Standard on Powered Rescue Tools. This project is also applicable to NFPA 402, Guide for Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting Operations; NFPA 610, Guide for Emergency and Safety Operations at Motorsports Venues; NFPA 1006, Standard on Professional Qualification for Technical Rescuer; and NFPA 1670, Standard on Operations and Training for Technical Search and Rescue Incidents.

The age of the particular rescue equipment and the items/equipment (e.g., vehicles) involved in the extrication are also important. The particular vintage directly relates to certain anticipated material properties and/or performance characteristics. For this study a retrospective time frame of 30 years has been set as being realistic and attainable with the study resources available.

Project deliverables will be a detailed report on the literature search, identified extrication scenarios, assessment of the use of high-strength alloy for the identified extrication scenarios, field inventory of powered rescue tools, effectiveness analysis, and recommendations to address perceived effectiveness gaps.

2. OVERVIEW OF EMERGENCY RESPONDER POWERED RESCUE TOOLS

Powered rescue tools provide a critical means for emergency first responders to perform their rescue mission. This is particularly true in the rescue of trapped victims at motor vehicle crashes. In every jurisdiction a motor vehicle crash is a relatively common occurrence, and having the necessary tools to properly complete expected rescue duties is essential.

In the 1960s the proliferation of hydraulic technology found a useful application within the U.S. fire service. This technology gained popularity in the following decades and today is a common feature among typical well-equipped fire departments.⁴ As the professional qualifications of the fire fighting and rescue profession evolved, so too did the equipment they were using. In the last several decades, the understanding, tools, and equipment for motor vehicle victim extrication have made this a key resource within most fire departments.⁵

Standardization and Conformity Assessment

Documents used for standardization and conformity assessment serve a key role in coordinating the design and implementation of safety equipment for emergency responders. This enables the users of powered rescue tools to obtain and use the subject equipment with expectations of credible and reliable performance characteristics. This equipment is intended to be used for life-saving tasks, and failure to function reliably could have significant adverse consequences.

As background, the general arrangement of U.S. governance has the federal government at the top, followed by State government (excluding territories), then county government, and finally local government involving cities, towns or villages. This overall approach was established in 1789 as a federal republic under a strong democratic tradition and is based on a legal system using English Common Law.⁶

The interaction between these levels of government depends of the constitutions and charters of the respective governing bodies. In the United States the Tenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution allows the States to exercise their reserved powers which includes police powers. Consequently topics of public safety, such as EMS and other emergency responders (e.g., law enforcement and fire service), receive primary attention at the State level (based on the State's constitution) and the local level as delegated by the particular State.⁷

Private, voluntary codes and standards organizations develop model documents in the United States that have significant benefits for adopting authorities. In particular they are efficient, cost-effective, and technically competent and achieve broad consensus input that results in robust and well-founded safety requirements. The federal government recognizes these benefits and requires (through Public Law 104-113, H.R. 2196, National Technology Transfer

—— Page 7 of 54 ——

and Advancement Act of 1996) that federal agencies use and actively participate in private voluntary codes and standards activities, rather than write their own codes and standards directly.⁸

A vast, diverse, and decentralized network of private codes and standards developers exists around the world today, and in the United States the codes and standards community maintains an inventory of almost 100,000 documents covering a wide range of topics.⁹ Table 2-1 provides a summary of the codes and standards documents and developers in the United States. It includes model documents developed by private codes and standards developers and documents developed by the federal government.

		# of		# of	
	Developer / Organization	Standards	Percent	Organizations	Percent
	Codes & standards developing organizations	17,000	18	40	6
Drivete	Trade associations	16,000	17	130	19
Private	Scientific and professional societies	14,000	15	300	43
Sector	Developers of informal standards	3,000	3	150	21
	Private Total	49,000	53	620	89
			1		1
	Department of Defense	34,000	37	4	1
Federal	General Services Administration	2,000	2	1	1
Govt.	Other	8,000	8	75	10
	Federal Government Total	44,000	47	80	11
-					
	Overall Total	93,000	100	700	100

Table 2-1: Codes and Standards Documents and Developing Organizations in the United States¹⁰

As the widespread usage of powered rescue equipment increased, the need to standardize the subject became apparent. In the NFPA codes and standards process, work first began through a subcommittee of the Technical Committee on Fire Department Equipment. In October 1992, the NFPA Standards Council organized a new committee, the Technical Committee on Fire Department Rescue Tools, which took over the responsibility for developing a new standard titled NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tool Systems*.¹¹ The first edition was issued in 1999, with subsequent editions issued in 2005 and 2010. Later editions included a change in the title of the document to NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*, based on its focus on individual tools rather than systems.

Standards are used to provide credible and reliable baseline information that reflects the will of society (and in this case the professionals who use this equipment) on complex technical issues (such as design and use of powered rescue tools). An additional important concept is conformity assessment. Conformity assessment activities form a vital link between standards and products, services, processes, systems, personnel qualifications, and organizations.

Conformity assessment is defined as "any activity concerned with determining directly or indirectly that relevant requirements are fulfilled."¹² The conformity infrastructure in the

United States allows consumers, buyers, sellers, regulators, and other interested parties to have confidence in the processes of providing conformity assessment, while avoiding the creation of unnecessary barriers to trade.

For powered rescue tools, concepts of conformity assessment and standards such as NFPA 1936 have a symbiotic existence. NFPA 1936 includes among its requirements reference to "listed" equipment, which translates to being acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction based on third-party review and certification, such as through a nationally recognized testing laboratory. This is marketplace driven and self-policing, based on what fire departments and others are willing to buy.

The ultimate benefit is a marketplace in which the users of powered rescue tools have acceptable confidence that the equipment they purchase will credibly and reliably perform as expected. Different specific standards (other than NFPA 1936) are typically used by the nationally recognized testing laboratories when performing their conformity assessment tests. Those standards generally contain greater detail on the types of test run on the equipment.¹³

Of particular interest are the specific requirements of NFPA 1936 that provide the baseline requirements for determining the acceptability of powered rescue tools. For example, Chapter 8 of NFPA 1936 provides the details of 25 separate test procedures. For convenience, these are summarized in Table 2-2, Summary of NFPA 1936 Testing.¹⁴

1) Tool Operating Temperature	9) Overload	18) Power Unit Pressure
2) Spreading Force	10) Deadman Control Device Endurance	19) Power Unit Pressure Relief and Automatic Limiting Device
3) Ram Tool Spreading Force	11) Safety Relief Device	20) Power Unit Dump Valve
4) Spreader Tool Pulling Force	12) Ram Bend	21) Power Unit Endurance
5) Ram Tool Pulling Force	13) Cutting	22) Directional Valve Endurance
6) Spreading Force Sudden Power Loss	14) Cutter Integrity	23) Remote Valve Block Endurance
7) Pulling Force Sudden Power Loss	15) Impact Resistance	24) Hose Reel Endurance
8) Dynamic Endurance	16) Noise	25) Hose Assembly Proof Pressure
	17) Incline Operational	

Table 2-2: Summary of NFPA 1936 Testing

This study has a particular focus on the powered rescue tools that cut and shear, because they are especially challenged by the new high-strength materials being used in today's motor vehicles. Thus, the sections in NFPA 1936 of key interest include Section 8.13 on "Cutting Test" and Section 8.14 on "Cutting Integrity Test".¹⁵ Of specific interest is Table 8.13.1 of NFPA 1936,

—— Page 9 of 54 ——

which provides the specific criteria used for cut testing and level performance rating and for comparing the effectiveness of powered rescue tools intended to cut or shear. This is shown in Figure2-1, Cut Testing and Level Performance Rating Required by NFPA 1936.¹⁶

The testing parameters represented by the criteria in Table 8.13.1 of NFPA 1936 are based on cutting five specific types of materials (round bar, flat bar, round pipe, square tube, and angle iron) and nine distinctly separate performance levels that account for the size and weight of the materials being cut. Those parameters provide a consistent baseline for testing, although the genesis of the criteria in this table is not clear.

Since problems are being reported in the field with the effectiveness of these cutting tools on modern passenger motor vehicles (and one of the primary motivations for this study), there are obvious questions on the need to consider updating Table 8.13.1 of NFPA 1936 and/or adding additional categories that will more realistically qualify the performance of the tools. Ultimately the purchasers and users of this equipment need a practical and credible method of evaluation. They need a test method that clarifies the performance levels with what fire fighters expect to encounter in the field in response to emergencies involving new-model passenger vehicles equipped with high-strength steels.

Material Category	A Round Bar	B Flat Bar	Re	C ound Pipe	D Square Tube	E Angle Iron
	6			6		J.
Material	A-36 Hot-Rolled	A-36	Schedule	40 A-53 Grade B	A-500 Grade	A-36
Performance Level	Diameter (in.)	Thickness × Width (in. × in.)	Nominal size (in.)	OD × Wall Thickness (in. × in.)	Dimension × Wall Thickness (in. × in.)	Square Dimension × Thickness (in. × in.)
1	3/8	$\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2}$	3/8	0.68 × 0.09	1/2 × 0.06	1/2 × 1/8
2	1/2	1/4 × 1	3⁄4	1.05 × 0.11	1¾ × 0.06	1 × 1/8
3	5/8	1⁄4 × 2	1	1.32 × 0.13	1 × 0.08	11/4 × 3/16
4	3/4	1⁄4 × 3	11/4	1.66 × 0.14	11/4 × 0.12	1½ × ¾16
5	7/8	1/4 × 4	11/2	1.90 × 0.15	1½ × 0.12	1½ × ¼
6	1	3% × 3	2	2.38 × 0.15	1¾ × 0.12	1 ³ / ₄ × ¹ / ₄
7	11⁄4	³ ∕ ₈ × 4	21/2	2.88 × 0.20	2 × 0.15	1½ × ¾
8	1½	3% × 5	3	3.50 × 0.22	21/2 × 0.19	2 × 3/8
9	1¾	³⁄8 × 6	31/2	4.00 × 0.23	3 × 0.19	21/2 × 3/8

For SI units 1 in. = 25.4 mm.



The NFPA 1936 standard is based on providing performance-oriented criteria and attempts to avoid prescriptive requirements that could inadvertently restrict the development of improved technology and marketplace competition, without maintaining or enhancing the level of safety. An example would be the specific design of rescue tool cutting blades. NFPA 1936 provides basic information to measure their expected performance, but it does not provide detailed

—— Page 10 of 54 ——

information about the specific materials that should be used to make the blades, how the blades should be manufactured, how they should be maintained, or when they should be replaced.¹⁷

Information Resources

There is a significant spectrum of information resources available to assist with addressing highstrength steels, passenger motor vehicles, victim extrication from motor vehicles, and similar aspects of this topic. It is noted that the constituent groups involved with these sub-topics are at times significantly diverse, for example, the fire service personnel handling victim extrication are typically far removed from material professionals involved with motor vehicle design.

From the perspective of motor vehicles and the materials they use, multiple organizations provide important informational resources. Because the automobile marketplace is relatively competitive and involves significantly large companies, organizations that focus on the needs of automakers are a key information resource, such as the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers (www.autoalliance.org) and Global Automakers (www.globalautomakers.org). Likewise, organizations representing the interests of material providers provide valuable support, such as the American Iron and Steel Institute (www.steel.org) and Steel Market Development Institute (www.smdisteel.org). Of special note are organizations that blend the interests of both constituent groups, such as the Auto Steel Partnership (www.a-sp.org). Regulatory and other organizations are likewise key vehicle resources, such as the National Highway Traffic and Safety Administration (www.nhtsa.gov) and the American Automobile Association (www.aaa.com).

For the fire/rescue community, numerous organizations provide either direct or indirect support by addressing the topic of vehicle rescue and extrication. Table 2-3 provides a brief summary of selected national organizations that provide noteworthy direct support for today's fire/rescue community in the United States for vehicle extrication-related topics. In addition, most of these organizations provide a website that includes links to multiple other related organizations, some of which may be worthy of further possible consideration.

The organizations in Table 2-3 have evolved over the years to fulfill certain express needs. The organizational types are quite varied and include private non-profit membership associations, trade groups, State and federal government agencies, collective bargaining bodies, commercial enterprises, and so on.

Table 2-3: Selected Summary of Fire Service Organizations with Vehicle Extrication InterestsFire Apparatus Manufacturers Association (FAMA)www.fama.orgA non-profit tradeassociation created in 1946 committed to enhancing the quality of the emergency servicecommunity through the manufacture and sale of safe, efficient emergency response vehiclesand equipment.

-— Page 11 of 54 ——

Fire Department Safety Officers Association (FDSOA) www.fdsoa.org A non-profit association established in 1989 with a mission to promote safety standards and practices in the fire, rescue and emergency services community.

Fire Equipment Manufacturers and Services Association (FEMSA) www.femsa.org A trade association for the fire and emergency services industry with more than 150 organizational members.

International Association of Fire Chiefs (IAFC) www.iafc.org A membership association representing the fire service leadership, serving career and volunteer chiefs, chief fire officers, company officers, and managers of emergency service organizations throughout the international community.

International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF) (www.iaff.org) A membership organization established in 1918 representing the interests of more than 298,000 full-time professional fire fighters and paramedics, protecting the majority of North America's population.

International Society of Fire Service Instructors (ISFSI) www.isfsi.org A membership organization that provides networking opportunities and resources for fire service instructors.

<u>Metropolitan Fire Chiefs Association (Metro Chiefs) metrofirechiefs2009.com</u> An association for members of IAFC and NFPA who serve as the highest-ranking fire department officers of cities or jurisdictions having a minimum staffed strength of 400 fully paid career fire fighters; functions as a membership section administered simultaneously by IAFC and NFPA.

<u>National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)</u> <u>www.nfpa.org</u> A non-profit membership association established in 1896 with about 85,000 members, dedicated to the mission of making the world safe from fire and explosions, and achieving this mission through public education, research, and consensus-based model codes and standards.

<u>National Volunteer Fire Council (NVFC)</u> <u>www.nvfc.org</u> A non-profit membership association comprising 49 state volunteer fire fighter organizations and other affiliated members, representing the interests of the volunteer fire, EMS, and rescue services.

North American Fire Training Directors (NAFTD) www.naftd.org An international organization that promotes the common interests of providing a quality fire training and educational experience for fire fighters, with membership comprising state fire training directors of each of the 50 states and all Canadian provinces and territories.

U.S. Fire Administration (USFA) www.usfa.dhs.gov An entity of the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency, with a mission to foster a solid foundation in prevention, preparedness, and response by providing national leadership to local fire and emergency services.

<u>U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)</u> www.fema.gov An agency within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security whose initial beginnings can be traced back to the Congressional Act of 1803, and whose primary mission is to reduce the loss of life and property and to protect the United States from all hazards, including natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other human-caused disasters.

U.S. National Fire Academy (NFA) www.usfa.dhs.gov/nfa The national fire training academy administered by the U.S. Fire Administration, to promote the professional development of the fire and emergency response community and its allied professionals and to support state and local training organizations to fulfill their obligation to the career and volunteer fire and emergency services.

In addition, noteworthy resources are available from the manufacturers handling powered rescue tools. With a backdrop of a competitive rescue tool marketplace, the manufacturers are

typically well focused on maintaining and supporting their products to the benefit of the user community. Some offer significant direct and indirect support services and products of interest to the fire service user community. For example, some offer hard copy or electronic software that provides comprehensive detailed specific information on the high-strength steel found in all motor vehicles on the road today.¹⁸

Another approach to providing a clearer perspective on the number and diversity of organizations serving as resources for the fire service on the topic of vehicle extrication is through a tabulation of the applicable ongoing meetings held annually. Table 2-4 provides a summary of fire service-oriented membership meetings, conferences, and trade shows in North America that have at least some activities (past or present) relating to vehicle extrication. The dates for the annual meetings are for a recent typical event; the precise date will change from year to year.

Some of these meetings are regional sub-sections of larger membership organizations (e.g., the New England Division of the IAFC). Others are the primary annual membership meetings for key organizations and as such have significant attendance numbers for the particular constituents interested in the topic (e.g., FDIC in April, NFPA in June, IAFF bi-annually in August, and FRI in August). It is not unusual for these meetings to focus on specific themes, such as the fire fighter training, safety issues, or the built infrastructure.

		FIRST	CONTACT
	WITG DATE	IVITG	CONTACT
FDSOA Apparatus	10.01/1		www.fdsoa.org
Specification & Vehicle	18-21/Jan		Fire Department Safety Officers Association
Maintenance Symposium			, ,
Fire Rescue Fast	22-23/lan		www.ffca.org
The Rescue Last	22-23/3411		Florida Fire Chiefs' Association
Einels and a Mandal			www.firehouseworls.com
Firenouse world	15-19/Feb		Cygnus Business Media
	26.20/5.1		www.femsa.org
Southwest CAFS Seminar	26-28/Feb		Fire Equipment Manufacturers and Services Association
TEEX Annual Spring/ARFF Fire			www.teex.com
School	1-6/Mar	1988	Texas A & M Municipal Fire School, TX Eng. Ext Service
	0.44/0.4		www.fireppesymposium.com
Fire PPE Symposium	9-11/Mar		Fire Industry Equipment Research Organization
	4446/04		www.ediafc.org
Annual EDIAFC Conference	14-16/Mar		Eastern Division International Association of Fire Chiefs
In decatorial Fine Mendel	22.27/04-5		www.fireworld.com
Industrial Fire World	23-27/1viar		Industrial Fire World
EMS Today Conference &	24.20/04-1		www.emstodayconference.com
Exposition	24-28/Mar		Journal of Emergency Medical Services
			www.fama.org
FAMA Spring Meeting	27-31/Mar		Fire Apparatus Manufacturers Association (& Fire and
_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Emergency Manufacturers and Services Association

Table 2-4: Typical North American Fire Service Meetings, Conferences and Trade Shows with Activities Relating to Vehicle Extrication

-— Page 13 of 54 ——

	ANNUAL	FIRST	
SHOW / MEETING	MTG DATE	MTG	CONTACT
FDIC: Fire Department	20-25/Apr		www.fdic.com
Instructors Conference	p.		Pennwell Publishing
SAFC Conference	23-25/Apr		www.safc.sk.ca
			Saskatchewan Association of Fire Chiefs
OAFC Annual Conference and	2-6/May	1952	<u>WWW.0atc.on.ca</u>
Fire-Rescue Med Conference	2-6/May		International Association of Fire Chiefs
			www.ediafc.org/conference
EDIAFC Conference	14-16/May	1927	IAFC Eastern Division
Lancaster County EIRE EXPO	15_17/May		www.lcfa.com
	13-17/Way		Lancaster County Firemen's Association
Alberta Fire Chiefs			
Association Conference &	24-25/May	1948	Alberta Fire Chiefs Association
Trade Show			
PFANJ Convention & Affiliate	26-29/May		<u>www.ptanj.org</u> Drofoscional Firofightors Association of New Jorsov
International Hazardous			Professional Filenginers Association of New Jersey
Materials Response Teams	27-31/May		www.iafc.org
Conference	27 31/10/04		International Association of Fire Chiefs
2009 Conference & Fire	31/Mav-		www.fcabc.bc.ca
Service Expo	4/Jun		Fire Chiefs Assoc. of British Columbia
MAFC 2009 Conference and	4.6.4		www.mafc.ca
Trade Show	4-6/JUN		Manitoba Association of Fire Chiefs
Quebec Association of Fire	6-9/lun		www.acsiq.qc.ca
Chiefs Annual Conference	0 3/3011		Quebec Association of Fire Chiefs
IAFF Barbera EMS Conference	7-10/Jun		www.iaff.org
	·		International Association of Fire Fighters
NFPA Conference & Expo	8-11/Jun	1896	<u>WWW.NTpa.Org/Wsce</u> National Fire Protection Association
Fire 2009: Fire Industry			www.pvsfirechiefs.com
Rescue, & EMS Expo	10-13/Jun	1906	New York State Association of Fire Chiefs
SEAFC Annual Leadership	40.00/	4020	
Conference	18-20/Jun	1928	Southeastern Association of Fire Chiefs
Metropolitan Fire Chiefs	21-25/lun	1965	metrofirechiefs2009.com
Conference	21 23/3411	1505	Metropolitan Fire Chiefs Association
Health, Fitness and Safety Symposium	23-25/Jun	1988	Phoenix Fire Department
New England Fire, Rescue &	_		www.newenglandfirechiefs.org
EMS Conference	24-28/Jun	1922	New England Association of Fire Chiefs
TEEV Facuala an Fanañal	F 10/Ind		www.teex.com
	2-10/Jul		Texas A & M Municipal Fire School, TX Eng. Ext Service
Missouri Valley Fire Chiefs	8-10/Jul		www.mvafc.org Missouri Valley Division of IAEC
Concrence			www.teex.com
TEEX Industrial School	12-17/Jul	1963	Texas A & M Municipal Fire School, TX Eng. Ext Service
South Carolina Fire-Rescue	13-18/Iul	1905	www.scfirefighters.org
Conference	13-10/301	1905	www.senrengnees.org

—— Page 14 of 54 ——

SHOW / MEETING	ANNUAL MTG DATE	FIRST MTG	CONTACT
TEEX Municipal School Vendor Show	19/Jul		<u>www.teex.com</u> Texas A & M Municipal Fire School, TX Eng. Ext Service
TEEX Municipal School	19-24/Jul	1929	www.teex.com Texas A & M Municipal Fire School, TX Eng. Ext Service
Firehouse Expo	21-26/Jul		www.firehouseexpo.com Cygnus Public Safety Group
Americas' Fire and Security Expo (AFSE)	29-31/Jul	2001	www.americasfireandsecurity.com NFPA, and National Association of Hispanic Firefighters
World Police & Fire Games	31/Jul – 9/Aug	1985	<u>www.2009wpfg.ca</u> World Police and Fire Games Federation
IAFF Redmond Symposium	15-18/Aug	1958	www.iaff.org International Association of Fire Fighters
IAFF Membership Convention (Bi-Annual)	22-27/ Aug/2010	1918	<u>www.iaff.org</u> International Association of Fire Fighters
Department of Defense Fire & Emergency Services Training Conference	24-28/Aug		www.iafc.org International Association of Fire Chiefs
Fire-Rescue International	25-29/Aug		<u>www.iafc.org</u> International Association of Fire Chiefs
Incident Management Symposium	27-29/Aug	1991	http://phoenix.gov/fire/sympos.html Phoenix Fire Department
Fire Rescue Canada	20-23/Sep		<u>www.cafc.ca</u> Canadian Association of Fire Chiefs
FDSOA Annual Conference	21-25/Sep		www.fdsoa.org Fire Department Safety Officers Association
Southwestern Fire Chiefs Conference.	25-29/Sep		<u>www.swd-iafc.org</u> Southwestern Fire Chiefs Association
Pittsburgh Fire/Rescue & EMS Expo	2-4/Oct	1987	www.pittsburghfireexpo.com Kelly Simon Productions
FAMA Fall Meeting	8-10/Oct		<u>www.fama.org</u> Fire Apparatus Manufacturers Association (& Fire and Emergency Manufacturers and Services Association
Firehouse Central 2009	26-30/Oct		<u>www.firehousecentral.com</u> Cygnus Public Safety Group
IAFC Volunteer & Combination Officers Symposium	5-8/Nov		www.iafc.org International Association of Fire Chiefs
VCOS Symposium (for Volunteer & Comb. FDs)	5-8/Nov		www.iafc.org International Association of Fire Chiefs

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Supporting background information is provided on this topic through a review of the published literature. The literature addresses various characteristics of importance, including motor vehicle material properties, usage, and trends of high-strength materials in motor vehicles, powered rescue tools, and methods for using those tools.

Literature Review Methodology

To enable handling of the published literature summarized in this section, a unique identifying number has been given to each item. These numbers are assigned according to the sequence the documents have been identified, and do not indicate a priority or similar sequencing

Several mechanisms have been introduced to facilitate the handling of this literature summary. In addition to the general citation information itself, a notes-field (i.e., "notes") has been included that provides a brief description of the citation and how it relates to the subject of powered rescue tool effectiveness.

The literature can be conveniently separated into three basic categories of subject matter, as illustrated in Figure 3-1, Literature Review Subject Areas:

- "M" Material Properties
- "V" Vehicle Usage and Trends
- "E" Extrication Tools and Methods



Figure 3-1: Literature Review Subject Areas

—— Page 16 of 54 ——

In the summary of applicable literature these basic categories are represented by the first initial of each term, that is, "M," "V," and "E." For example, a particular article that is focused more toward the properties and categories of alternative materials, such as advanced high-strength steels, would be designated with "M." Similarly, "V" designates automotive trends or specific uses within a vehicle, and "E" indicates extrication techniques or information specifically about powered rescue tools.

For literature citations that cover more than a single basic category, multiple designations are provided. When this is the case, the designations are indicated in order of most prominent. For example, "MVE" designates a citation that predominantly focuses on material properties but also addresses the vehicle trends and to a lesser extent touches on extrication techniques. While this characterization admittedly is subjective, it is offered to provide the user with helpful guidance.

As the literature for this study was collected and reviewed, each citation was also evaluated to include a rating as to whether its relationship to the focus of this study was "critical," "major," or "minor." Like the aforementioned categories for subject areas, this too is admittedly subjective, but nevertheless was deemed worthy to be included to assist others with processing this information. In addition to the relationship ratings of "critical," major," and minor," a fourth category is offered for "reference" documents. These ratings are summarized in Table 3-1, Literature Review Characteristics.

		Contribution		Contont			
	Scope Relativity	Contribution	Applicability	Content			
	(Directly Related /	(Original /	(Current /	(Shallow /			
	Indirectly Related)	Repetitious)	Outdated)	Rich)			
Critical	Directly addresses project scope	Original	Current and timeless	Rich in content			
Major	Partially addresses project scope	Partially original	Somewhat current	Some applicable content			
Minor	Indirectly addresses project scope	directly addresses Repeat of earlier project scope work		Superficial or shallow content			
		Superseded by inform	ation in other articles;				
Reference	summarized baseline information;						
	potentially out of date						

Table 3-1: Literature Review Characteristics

To further clarify the thought process in determining each relevance designation, the characteristics of "scope relativity," "contribution," "applicability," and "content" were all considered and are indicated in Table 3-1. "Scope relativity" addresses if the citation is directly or indirectly related to the subject of this study, while "contribution" considers if the citation is original or repetitious of earlier work. "Applicability" seeks to clarify the age of the publication,

—— Page 17 of 54 ——

that is, whether it is current or outdated, and "content" addresses the substance of the published materials as it relates to the subject matter of this study.

Implementation and Results

While there may be other documents indirectly related to the subject matter of this study, this literature includes more than five dozen of the top articles and references that have been identified and deemed to be appropriate for inclusion.

Of the three designated categories, the most common are "Vehicle Usage and Trends," with 29 citations and "Extrication & Tools," also with 29, followed by "Material Properties," with 15. The primary focus of this literature review is on published literature with a preference toward peer-reviewed publications. Information such as manufacturers' literature generally has not been included.

	M	V	E
	(Material)	(Vehicle)	(Extrication & Tools)
Critical	4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 35,	13, 16, 18, 19, 20, 30, 33,	22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29,
Critical	36, 37, 68	37, 43, 44, 68	36, 39, 44, 55
Major	1, 2, 3, 10	15, 17, 31, 32, 48	21, 25, 40, 41, 53, 63, 69
Minor	567	14, 34, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56,	42, 45, 46, 47, 49, 50, 51,
WIIIO	5, 0, 7	57, 58, 60, 61, 64, 65	59, 60, 61, 62, 67
Reference		38	

T-LL D D C	- C 1 * 1	C - I	D N
Table 3-2: Cross Summar	v of Literature Review	Categories by	V Document Number

In recognition that the user of this information may have a preference for certain topics, Table 3-2 provides a cross summary of the literature review categories by document number, which allows a focus toward the subject matter of most interest to the user of this study. This supports the full literature summary, which is included in Table 3-3, Summary of Literature Review Documents.

					Vol/			Cate-	
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment
1	Advanced High-	Machine	Geck, P.	2010			Article	М	Review of AHSS
	Strength Steels Add	Design		May					benefits and
	Strength and								properties
	Ductility to Vehicle								
	Design								
2	Boron in Steel: Part	Key to Metals					Article	М	Chemical compound's
	Тwo								hardening ability

Table 3-3: Summary of Literature Review Documents

3 4 5 6 6	Title Press Hardening Process of Boron Steel Sheets MVA Extrication Information – tronger Metals on Vehicles Properties of Carbon Fibre for Automotive Crash Structures Training Book - Chapter 4: Aircraft Basic Construction	Publication Auto Focus Asia RTC Rescue Auto CRC US Navy Sea Cadet Corps	Author(s) Kolleck, R. Sudharsan, R.	Year 2011 Feb.	Issue	Pg(s)	Format Article Article	gory M M	Comment Crash requirements for components, manufacturing methods, and optimization Common steel material descriptions, locations, and vehicle
3 4 5 6 6	Press Hardening Process of Boron Steel Sheets MVA Extrication Information – tronger Metals on Vehicles Properties of Carbon Fibre for Automotive Crash Structures Training Book - Chapter 4: Aircraft Basic Construction	Auto Focus Asia RTC Rescue Auto CRC US Navy Sea Cadet Corps	Kolleck, R. Sudharsan, R.	2011 Feb.			Article	M	Crash requirements for components, manufacturing methods, and optimization Common steel material descriptions, locations, and vehicle
4 5 6 6	MVA Extrication Information – tronger Metals on Vehicles Properties of Carbon Fibre for Automotive Crash Structures Training Book - Chapter 4: Aircraft Basic Construction	Auto CRC US Navy Sea Cadet Corps	Sudharsan, R.	2011 Feb.			Article	Μ	Common steel material descriptions, locations, and vehicle
5 6 6	Properties of Carbon Fibre for Automotive Crash Structures Training Book - Chapter 4: Aircraft Basic Construction Carbon Fibre	Auto CRC US Navy Sea Cadet Corps	Sudharsan, R.	2011 Feb.					types
6 C E	Training Book - Chapter 4: Aircraft Basic Construction Carbon Fibre	US Navy Sea Cadet Corps					Power Point	Μ	Testing carbon fibre and Aluminum
	Carbon Fibre					Ch.4	Book	Μ	Describes use of carbon, boron, tungsten fibre in military aircraft
7		Car Design Online						Μ	Carbon Fibre material overview
8	Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles – Part 1	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2009 May		37-38	Article	Μ	"More steel" reinforcement method
9	Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles – Part 2	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2009 June		47-48	Article	Μ	"Advanced steel" reinforcement method
10 A	UltraLight Steel uto Body (ULSAB)	ICAR Advantage		1998 July/ Aug.	XI/4	1-4	Article	Μ	Full Frame Unibody that is lighter but stronger
11 In Cu Te F	nplementations of urrent & Advanced Materials and echnologies for the FMVSS 216 NPRM		Magna Steyr	2006 Dec.			Power Point	Μ	Comparative research of future auto materials, technologies, and techniques
12 In Cu Te F	nplementations of urrent & Advanced Materials and echnologies for the FMVSS 216 NPRM		Magna Steyr	2006 Dec.			Report	Μ	Detailed comparison of future auto materials, technologies, and techniques
13	Evolution of Advanced High Strength Steels in Automotive Applications	General Motors Company	Hall, J.N.	2011 May			Power Point	V	In depth details on current auto trends and materials
14 In	India: Maruti to crease use of High Strength Steel		Auto- motive World .com	2010 Sept.			Article	V	Suzuki announcing majority of their cars will use HSS by 2012
15 E E>	European Vehicles Acceed Standard for US Car Roofs	The Center for Auto Safety/The Detroit News	Plungis, J. and Vlasic, B.				Article	V	Compares dolly roll over testing and drop tests to the standard roof crush test required

—— Page 19 of 54 ——

					Vol/			Cate-	Cate-		
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment		
16	Ultra-High-Strength Steels		Ford's Media Team	2010 April			Article	V	Ways Ford is enhancing vehicle safety and improving fuel efficiency		
17	Will it Blend? Ford Fiesta Ultra- High- Strength Boron Steel puts YouTube Blender to Test		Ford's Media Team				Article	V	Use of boron in Ford Fiesta and boron details		
18	Tough Cars Tougher on Rescue Workers	MSNBC.com	Associated Press	2008 Marc h			Article	V	Overview of the issue regarding "golden hour" delays, costly upgrades, and the new material in newer cars		
19	Vehicles with Boron and UHSS	Boron Extrication .com	Smith, M.	2011 Jan.			Web Site	V	Independent website with research and list of all the cars with boron and UHSS.		
20	FMVSS 216 NPRM – DOT's 571.216 Roof Crush Resistance Requirements	National Highway Traffic Safety Administratio n		2008 Oct.			Code	V	Department of Transportation requirements for roof crush resistance		
21	RTA Persons Trapped – Vehicle Accident Rescue		L. M. Watson				Article	E	In-depth extrication guide with spec sheets on numerous older recue tools		
22	Cutting Performance Defined: Debunking the Myths Surrounding Rescue Tool Cutting Forces		Hurst Jaws of Life				Bro- chure	E	Explains cutting capability of rescue tools and theoretical vs. actual cutting force		
23	Emergency Response Guides	Boron Extrication .com	Smith, M.				Web Site	E	Independent collection of emergency response guides		
24	Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles – Part 5	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2009 Sept.		43-46	Article	E	New rescue techniques to avoid AHSS sections		
25	Hurst Jaws of Life Tools	Jaws of Life				284- 311	Bro- chure	E	Compliant regulations and rescue tool specs.		
26	Rescue Tool Blades are Not Created Equally	Fire Apparatus Magazine	Haddon, C.	2010			Article	E	Blade design and considerations		
27	Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles – Part 3	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2009 July		47-51	Article	E	Evaluation of common types of rescue tools against AHSS boron		
28	Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles – Part 4	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2009 Aug.		33-34	Article	E	Evaluation of power cutter extrication tools		

—— Page 20 of 54 ——

					Vol/			Cate-	
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment
29	NFPA 1936, Standard on Powered Rescue Tools	NFPA Codes and Standards	NFPA	2010	14		Code	E	NFPA code for definitions, product design, performance, and testing requirements
30	Review of Technical Literature and Trends Related to Automobile Mass- Reduction Technology		Lutsey, N.	2010, May			Report	V	Summary of trends and use of advanced material to reduce mass in new automobiles
31	Ford Does it Again with Top Picks from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety	Town and Country Ford.com		2010, Aug.			Article	V	Ford Fiesta's use of HSS to earn Top Safety Pick from IIHS
32	High-Strength Steels Advance in Cars	Design News	Smock, D.	2007, Marc h			Article	V	Examples of HSS in Roadster, Sebring, and Civic
33	The Trends of Steel Products in the European Automotive Industry		Jeanneau, M. and Pichant, P.	2000, Sept.			Report	V	Automotive trends in European market caused by regulations and customer requirements
34	FutureSteelVehicle Steel Technology Assessment and Design Methodology	World Auto Steel	Future Auto Steel	2010, June			Interim Report	V	Automotive concept design criteria; mostly for Tables 1-2, 1-3, Figures 1-1, 1-2
35	Advanced High- Strength Steels a Collision Repair Perspective	I-Car Advantage Online		2006, June			Article	Μ	Compares and categorizes most common steel types
36	Boron Steel in Vehicles: Implications of HSLA/UHSS and Boron Steels for Rescuers	ResQmed	Watson, L.	2008, Aug.			Article	Μ, Ε	Detailed review of responding to a vehicle extrication involving boron and the issues faced
37	Working with Boron Steel	I-CAR Advantage Online		2004, May			Article	M, V	Properties of boron and how to work with it along with mentioning pre-2007 cars using boron
38	Moditech Crash Recovery System		Moditech	2006			Soft- ware	V	Hurst's computer program for fire fighters to know where the vital components such as air bag canisters and AHSS are located
39	Holmatro's Vehicle Extrication Techniques		Holmatro				Book	V, E	Vehicle extrication manual to identify key components on cars

—— Page 21 of 54 ——

					Vol/			Cate-	
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment
40	NFPA 1670 – Chapter 8		NFPA	2010			Code	E	Technical search and rescue document on vehicle extrication
41	NFPA 402 – Chapter 9		NFPA	2010			Code	E	Aircraft forcible entry rescue tools and evacuation methods.
42	NFPA Aircraft Familiarization Charts Manual		NFPA	1996			Manual	E	Does not directly apply, but is a rescue manual used in ARFF response and an example of quick reference guide for fire rescue
43	Application of High- Strength Steels (AHSS) in Modern North American Vehicles	American Iron and Steel Institute	Anderson, D. and Potter, C.	2008, Oct.			Power Point	V	Presentation covering requirement and material trends within automotives
44	The VSDS Proposal: Providing Critical Data To Rescue Personnel	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	1999 July	24/7		Article	E, V	Proposal for approach similar to MSDS, but instead for Vehicle Safety Data Sheet
45	Electrical Systems: Part 1	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2000 May	25/5	31-32	Article	E	Part 1 of 2 on electrical shutdown and vehicle battery locations
46	Electrical Systems: Part 2	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2000 June	25/6	25-26	Article	E	Part 2 of 2 on electrical shutdown and vehicle battery locations
47	The Thoughtful Rescuer	Fire-Rescue Magazine	Kidd, J. S.	2001 June	19/6	20-21	Article	E	Review of strike zone and other extrication concepts
48	Hybrid Vehicles: Part 1 - What Are Hybrid Vehicles? How Do They Work?	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2001 July	26/7	112	Article	V	Part 1 of 3 providing a review of current and anticipated hybrid- fueled vehicles
49	Hybrid Vehicles: Part 2 – Emergency Procedures	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2001 Aug	26/8	35-36	Article	E	Part 2 of 3 describing the emergency procedures that should be used for a hybrid vehicle fire
50	Hybrid Vehicles: Part 3 - Rescue Operations	Firehouse Magazine	Moore, R.E.	2001 Sept	26/9	35-36	Article	E	Part 3 of 3 focusing on extrication and rescue from a hybrid vehicle

—— Page 22 of 54 ——

					Vol/			Cate-	
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment
51	Now Showing in Your District: Hybrid Vehicles!	Size Up	Wimer, D.R.	2004 Fall		58-59	Article	E, V	Basic steps to take for handling hybrids
52	Alternate-Fueled Vehicles	Firehouse	Blatus, R.J. and Richardson, T.J	2005 Mar		88	Article	V	Addresses hybrid and alternative-fuel vehicle fire hazards
53	Pry, Pry Again	Fire Chief	Hoffman, T.	2005 May	49/5	72-77	Article	E	Addresses extrication from hybrid vehicles involving multiple airbags and extensive restraint systems
54	A Guide to New Rides	Fire Rescue Magazine	Uttley, M.	2006 Mar	24/3	72-78	Article	V	Glimpse of new technology that could affect vehicle rescue from the 2006 North Amer. Int'l Auto Show
55	Gasoline-Electric Hybrid Vehicles	Firehouse	Moore, R.E.	2006 Nov	31/11	43-44	Article	E	Hands-on training exercise on hybrid vehicles in accordance with NFPA 1670
56	Hybrid Vehicles: What's All The Buzz About?	Fire Engineering	Dalrymple, D.	2006 Nov	159/1 1	24-29	Article	V	Review of current and future hybrid vehicle designs and identifiers for rescuers
57	Hybrid Vehicles	Firehouse	Moore, R.E.	2006 Dec	31/12	39-40	Article	V	Review of rescue from two hybrid pickups
58	Hybrid Vehicle Update – Part 1 Color-Coding High Voltage Cables & Connectors	Firehouse	Moore, R.E.	2007 July	32/7	43-45	Article	V	Part 1 on color-coding of hybrid vehicle high- voltage cables & connectors
59	Compound Factors	Fire Chief	Emery, J.D.	2007 Aug	51/8	92-95	Article	E	Focus on extrication and electrocution hazard of hybrid vehicles
60	Hybrid Hints And Hazards	Fire Fighting in Canada	Methner, P.	2007 Nov	51/7	36-40	Article	V <i>,</i> E	Review of size-up, cutting power, and extrication methods for hybrid vehicles
61	Hybrid School Buses: Response Considerations	Fire Engineering	Hollins, L.T.	2008 Jan	161/1	107- 108	Article	V, E	Case study review of a hybrid school bus
62	Hybrid Vehicle Rescue: Replacing Fear with Knowledge	Carolina Fire Rescue EMS Journal	Shaw, R.	2008 Wint er	22/3	44-71	Article	E	Review of basics for emergency responders
63	Best Practices for Today's Extrication	Size Up	Dalrymple, D.	2009	3	33-35	Article	E	Review of technology and operational facets of vehicle extrication
64	Rescue and Alternative Vehicle Power	Fire Engineering	Dalrymple, D.	2009 Apr	162/4	151- 152	Article	v	Review of rescue concerns with alternative-fueled vehicles, including electric hybrids

—— Page 23 of 54 ——

					Vol/			Cate-	
	Title	Publication	Author(s)	Year	Issue	Pg(s)	Format	gory	Comment
65	Hybrid Vehicles:	Fire	Emery, J.D.	2009	162/7	73-82	Article	V	Review of hybrid
	Separating Fact	Engineering		July					vehicles through 2011
	From Fiction								
66	Ultra- High Strength	Electric					Report	V	Quick reference sheet
	Steels in 2009	Vehicle Safety							listing the zones in
	Model Year GM	Training							which GM used UHSS
	Vehicles								in 2009
67	Evaluation and	USFA NFA	Dillingham,	2004			Report	E	Evaluation and
	Analysis for Motor	Executive Fire	D.D.	Oct					analysis for motor
	Vehicle Rescue	Officer (EFO)							vehicle rescue in a
		Paper							small department
68	Future Steel Vehicle:	Steel Market		2011			Report	V <i>,</i> M	Strategic plan for
	Overview Report	Development		May					future use of new
		Institute							steels by the auto
									industry
69	New Technologies	FEMA / US		1994	FA-		Report	M, V,	Comprehensive
	in Vehicle	Fire Admin,		Sept	152			Е	review of vehicle
	Extrication	National Fire							extrication technology
		Academy							

4. EXTRICATION SCENARIOS AND TASKS

Today advanced high-strength steels and composite materials are often used in applications where high strength is required, but low weight is preferred. Yet only in the last several years has the fire service taken notice of the more widespread use of these materials, which are challenging the effectiveness of their rescue tools.

The use of advanced high-strength steels and composite materials is not new. For motor vehicles, however, the extended use of these materials has been limited and it has not been until the last several years that they have seen more widespread mainstream use. For certain specialty applications, such as aircraft, locomotive trains, racing vehicles, or collapsed buildings, emergency responders already understood that conventional rescue tools could be challenged to their performance limits, and special equipment would sometimes be required. The ability for rescuers to be prepared to handle any incident no matter the material is vital to ensuring the quick rescue of anyone who is trapped.

Motor vehicles are arguably the most common rescue and extrication scenario in which emergency responders might encounter high strength steels and composite materials. Certainly these materials are encountered during other emergency events, such as rail or aviation incidents, but motor vehicle crashes occur more frequently. Thus, motor vehicle events are the primary focus on this study.

Within the automotive industry, the driving force pushing the use of advanced high-strength steels and composite materials has been twofold. First, advancements in industry safety standards such as through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) have heightened vehicular structural requirements. Second, and just as influential, the competitive automobile marketplace has had a growing emphasis on high fuel efficiency based on consumer demand. Nearly every large manufacturer has stated its intentions to utilize greater percentages of advanced high-strength steels and composite materials into future designs.

All motor vehicles on the road today have multiple potential hazards that may confront emergency responders. Some of those hazards are independent of the type of propulsion system used, such as compressed gas or the explosive cartridges used for air bags. In contrast, the type of propulsion system can have a direct effect on certain features that affect vehicle extrication, such as high-strength structural supports for the primary battery in an electric vehicle. The general hazards typically found in today's traditional motor vehicle are shown in Figure 4-1, Hazardous Materials Normally Found in Conventional-Motor Vehicles.

An emergency incident involving a motor vehicle is not an uncommon occurrence for the fire service. It would not be unusual for a fire department to respond to a motor vehicle crash or fire during any given day. This is based on the large number of motor vehicles, of all types, that exist today on public roadways in the United States.

—— Page 25 of 54 ——



Figure 4-1: Hazardous Materials Normally Found in Conventional-Motor Vehicles¹⁹ (Diagram courtesy of Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration)

The primary emergency scenarios that could be expected by the fire service responding to a motor vehicle emergency event are illustrated in Figure 4-2, Key Emergency Scenarios for Motor Vehicles. This figure considers four basic possibilities: (1) Extrication/Rescue; (2) Fire; (3) Water Submersion; and (4) Other Scenarios.



Figure 4-2: Key Emergency Scenarios for Motor Vehicles

The most probable emergency event involving motor vehicles is a motor vehicle accident (MVA). An MVA could involve a collision with another vehicle, multiple vehicles, a stationary object (e.g., telephone pole), or any combination of these. Often an MVA includes victims with injuries requiring prompt medical attention. In some situations the victims may be trapped and require extrication. The four basic ways a motor vehicle can be involved in a collision are: (1)

Head-On, or Frontal; (2) Rear-End; (3) Side Impact, or "T-Bone"; and (4) Rollover. A motor vehicle emergency often involves more than one of these collision scenarios.²⁰



Figure 4-3: Types of Motor Vehicle Collisions

Extrication of trapped victims from a damaged motor vehicle is among the most significantly challenging tasks faced by fire fighters during an emergency event involving a motor vehicle. Often the victims are in immediate need of medical treatment and rapid intervention is essential. Meanwhile, the risks of the situation may be further complicated with a cadre of additional challenges such as vehicle fire, downed power lines, or an external hazardous materials exposure.

Preparation and training for vehicle extrication are paramount to achieving an efficient operation that takes reasonable precautions for emergency responders and victims alike. While every event involving extrication and rescue is unique, certain approaches used by the fire service provide clear direction to emergency personnel on how to handle the event.

Successfully performing a rescue involving vehicle extrication is a complex task that requires a well-coordinated team approach. An example of one team-oriented methodology is based on coordinating resources into four teams: stabilization team, power-down team, extrication team, and the decontamination team.²¹ Each of these resource units has specific duties and responsibilities, and they interact and support each other using defined brother-sister relationships. This concept is illustrated in Figure 4-4, Example of Approach to Extrication & Rescue.



Figure 4-4: Example of Approach to Extrication & Rescue²²

The primary elements included in the procedures for handling extrication and rescue of victims from any motor vehicle will vary. However, the basic elements are included in Figure 4-5, Summary of Basic Elements of Vehicle Rescue from NFPA 1670, as taken from Chapter 8 of NFPA 1670, *Standard on Operations and Training for Technical Search and Rescue Incidents*.²³ As part of their hazard identification pre-planning and risk assessment, it is intended that emergency responders identify the types of vehicles and machinery within their response area.

- **I) General Requirements.** Comply with General Requirements (Chapter 4) of NFPA 1670, which includes consideration for:
 - a) Training;
 - **b)** Documentation;
 - c) Standard operating procedures;
 - d) Hazard identification and risk assessment;
 - e) Incident response planning;
 - f) Equipment; and
 - g) Safety.

II) Awareness Level. For rescue emergencies involving vehicles and similar machinery; requires compliance with Chapter 4 of NFPA 472, *Standard for Professional Competence of Responders to Hazardous Materials Incidents*. Procedures for "Awareness Level" shall include:

- a) Recognize need for a vehicle and machinery search and rescue;
- b) Identify resources necessary to conduct operations;
- c) Initiate the emergency response system for incident;
- d) Initiate site control and scene management;
- e) Recognize general hazards associated with incident, including the following;
 - i) Utilities, such as:
 - Electrical services (primary and secondary);

-— Page 28 of 54 ——

- Gas, propane, fuel oil, or other alternative energy sources;
- Water;
- Sanitary systems;
- Communications; and
- Secondary service systems (e.g. compressed, medical, or industrial gases).
- ii) Hazardous materials;
- iii) Personal hazards;
- iv) Movement of vehicle(s) and machinery;
- v) Release of high-pressure vehicle systems; and
- vi) Other hazards.
- f) Initiate traffic control.

III) Operations Level. For rescue emergencies involving vehicles and similar machinery; requires compliance with "Awareness Level" and with Chapter 5 of NFPA 472, Standard for Professional Competence of Responders to Hazardous Materials Incidents. Also requires organizational member capability for recognizing hazards, using equipment, and implementing techniques necessary to operate safely and effectively at incidents involving persons injured or entrapped in a vehicle or machinery. Procedures for "Operations Level" shall include:

- a) Size-up incident, with initial and continuous evaluation of:
 - i) Scope and magnitude of the incident;
 - ii) Risk/benefit analysis (body recovery versus rescue);
 - iii) Number and size of vehicles or machines affected;
 - iv) Integrity and stability of vehicles or machines affected;
 - v) Number of known or potential victims;
 - vi) Access to the scene;
 - vii) Other hazards such as disrupted or exposed utilities, standing or flowing water, mechanical hazards, hazardous materials, electrical hazards, and explosives;
 - viii) Exposure to traffic;
 - ix) Environmental factors; and
 - **x)** Available versus necessary resources
- b) Identify probable victim locations and survivability;
- c) Make the search and rescue area safe, including the stabilization and isolation (e.g., lockout/tagout) of all vehicles and machinery involved, with consideration for actions such as:
 - i) Establishing operational zones (i.e., hot, warm, cold) and site security;
 - ii) Utilizing specific techniques and tools (including cribbing, chocks, and wedges) to stabilize the vehicle;
 - iii) Utilizing specific techniques and tools (i.e., lockout and tagout) to isolate the involved equipment;
 - iv) Making the search and rescue area (i.e., hot zone) safe for entry;
 - v) Safely undertaking disentanglement and extrication operations using hand tools;
 - vi) Ventilating the search and rescue area and monitoring its atmosphere when necessary
 - vii) Supporting unbroken utilities;
 - viii) Providing protective equipment for victims, if possible, when necessary;
 - ix) Prohibiting entry into an unsafe vehicle or machinery search and rescue area; and
 - **x)** Preventing the touching or operating of equipment or machinery involved until its safety has been established.

—— Page 29 of 54 ——

- d) Identify, contain, and stop fuel release;
- e) Protect victims during extrication or disentanglement;
- f) Package victims prior to extrication or disentanglement;
- g) Access victims trapped in a vehicle or machinery;
- **h)** Perform extrication and disentanglement operations involving packaging, treating, and removing victims trapped in vehicles or machinery through the use of hand and power tools, with training and on-scene consideration of:
 - i) Types of passenger restraint systems;
 - ii) Frame and construction features of vehicles;
 - iii) Types of suspension systems in vehicles;
 - iv) Types and classification of impacts;
 - v) Categories of mechanical injury;
 - vi) Various stabilization techniques;
 - vii) Center of gravity and its relationship to rollover;
 - viii) Use of cribbing and chocks;
 - ix) Building a crib box;
 - x) Types and examples of levers for mechanical advantage;
 - xi) Proper and effective use of hand tools including hammer, pry bar, hacksaw, glass punch, Halligan, knife or belt cutter, cable cutter, and come-along;
 - xii) Disentanglement through primary access points;
 - xiii) Victim packaging prior to removal from a vehicle or machine;
 - xiv) Protection of the victim during extrication or disentanglement operations; and
 - **xv)** Proper and effective use of power tools including hydraulic, pneumatic, and electrical spreading, cutting, lifting, and ram-type tools.
- i) Mitigate and manage general and specific hazards (e.g., fires and explosions) associated with vehicle and machinery search and rescue incidents;
- **j)** Procure and utilize the resources necessary to conduct vehicle and machinery search and rescue operations; and
- k) Maintain control of traffic at the scene of vehicle and machinery search and rescue incidents.
- **IV)** Technician Level. For rescue emergencies involving vehicles and similar machinery; requires compliance with "Awareness Level" and "Operations Level". Procedures for "Technician Level" shall include:
 - a) Evaluate existing and potential conditions at incident;
 - **b)** Perform extrication and disentanglement operations involving packaging, treating, and removing injured or trapped victims, with training and on-scene consideration of:
 - i) Frame and construction features of heavy, large vehicles and machinery;
 - ii) Use and components of a search and rescue chain assembly;
 - iii) Pneumatic high-, medium-, and low-pressure lifting bags;
 - iv) Use, care, and maintenance of wire rope and its associated equipment;
 - v) Large and heavy object weight estimation;
 - vi) Steps necessary to lift or move large objects;
 - vii) Use of cribbing and chocks with large and heavy objects;
 - viii) Use of commercial heavy wreckers and recovery services to assist at incidents involving large transportation vehicles;
 - ix) Use, care, and maintenance of both manual and power winches;
 - x) Types and examples of lifting devices that use mechanical advantage principles;

—— Page 30 of 54 ——

- **xi)** Proper and effective use of power tools, including hydraulic, pneumatic, and electrical spreading, cutting, lifting, and ram-type tools;
- **xii)** Disentanglement through both primary and secondary access points using available power tools;
- **xiii)** Protection of the victim during extrication or disentanglement operation;
- xiv) Lockout/tagout of machinery; and
- **xv)** Identification and use of various sling configurations.
- c) Handle advanced stabilization of unusual vehicle and machinery search and rescue situations (e.g. extrication or disentanglement operations at incidents involving small or large non-upright vehicles, using techniques and equipment such as chains, cables, jack devices, and cribbing/shoring); and
- **d)** Use all specialized search and rescue equipment immediately available and in use by the emergency response organization, including:
 - i) Powered rescue tools (e.g., hydraulic cutters/ spreaders/rams, air bags, other power tools, and hand tools); and
 - ii) Training necessary to remove, cut, and move components required for extrication.

Figure 4-5: Summary of Basic Elements of Vehicle Rescue from NFPA 1670²⁴

5. HIGH-STRENGTH ALLOYS AND COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Throughout the automobile industry, the growing trend over the last decade has been the use of high-strength steels (HSS) and advanced high-strength steels (AHSS) in new-vehicle designs. Indications are that this trend will continue, since the use of these materials is in all new passenger vehicle makes and models in response to new safety standards and marketplace incentives for fuel efficiency. This trend applies to all passenger vehicles and is not limited to new alternative-fuel vehicles such as those using propane, natural gas, electric, and hybrid propulsion.

The benefits of new materials such as HSS and AHSS are numerous. The increased vehicle strength helps manufacturers adhere to ever increasing safety testing requirements to increase crash worthiness. Lowering the vehicle weight increases fuel efficiency, which is a significant feature for the vehicle consumer. Lighter vehicles also collectively decrease unwanted gas emissions such as CO into the atmosphere. Strong national interest exists to reduce dependency on foreign energy supplies beyond direct governmental control.

Background and General Principles

Material choices for a vehicle design are inherently dependent on multiple factors to achieve desired performance requirements. Examples of such factors are strength (for safety), workability (for manufacturing), durability (for corrosion resistance), and weight (for fuel efficiency). In a competitive automobile marketplace, these and other factors have significant influence on the ultimate choice of materials. Further, certain external influences such as increasingly stringent vehicle safety standards can be the motivating reason for industry-wide change.

Several different types of steels are available today, and they are often referred to in the literature and elsewhere by their representative acronyms. A spectrum of SAE standards address these various types of steel and provide detailed requirements for their manufacture.²⁵ For convenience, the most common steels found in today's motor vehicles are summarized in Table 5-1, Summary of Types of Steels Found in Modern Motor Vehicles.²⁶ The steel types are sorted into three groups. First are the terms used for general categorization, followed by the sub-types that are considered conventional HSS and then the sub-types for AHSS.

In the automobile market, the trend has clearly been the increased use of HSS and composite materials. Further, indications are that this trend will continue based on improvements in manufacturing technology for HSS and other materials, which is making these materials more available and affordable.

Comparison data are available for the use of basic materials in the average passenger motor vehicle in the North American marketplace, over a time period of roughly three decades.²⁷ This information is shown graphically in Figure 5-1, Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 1975, which is followed by Figure 5-2, Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 2007.²⁸

Acronym	Name of Steel Type	Classification					
AHSS	Advanced High-Strength Steel	General Category					
DR	Dent Resistant Steel	General Category					
HSLA	High-Strength Low Alloy Steel	General Category					
HSS	High-Strength Steel	General Category					
MILD	Mild Steel	General Category					
UHSS	Ultra High-Strength Steel	General Category					
BH	Bake Hardenable Steel	Conventional HSS					
CMn	Carbon-Manganese Steel	Conventional HSS					
IF	Interstitial-Free Steel	Conventional HSS					
IF-HS	Interstitial-Free High-Strength Steel	Conventional HSS					
IS	Isotropic Steel	Conventional HSS					
СР	Complex Phase Steel	AHSS					
DP	Dual Phase Steel	AHSS					
DP-CP	Dual Phase – Complex Phase Steel	AHSS					
FB	Ferritic-Bainitic Steel	AHSS					
HF	Hot Formed Steel	AHSS					
MART	Martensitic-Phase Steel	AHSS					
MS	Martensitic Steel	AHSS					
PFHT	Post-Forming Heat-Treatable Steel	AHSS					
SF	Stretch Flangeable Steel	AHSS					
TRIP	Transformation-Induced Plasticity Steel	AHSS					
TWIP	Twinning-Induced Plasticity Steel	AHSS					

Table 5-1: Summary of Types of Steels Found in Modern	Motor Vehicles ²⁹
Tuble 5 1. Summary of Types of Steels Found in Modelin	Wildton Verneies

The pie charts in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2 demonstrate the increase of AHSS in motor vehicles. For example, a typical vehicle in 1975 consisted of nearly 56% mild steel and approximately 4% medium steel and HSS. By comparison, the typical vehicle in 2007 contained just over 43% mild steel and almost 12% HSS.³⁰

In addition to the shift from mild steels to HSS, collectively there has been a decrease in the use of steels compared to other materials, most notably aluminum and plastics. Collectively the use of steel has dropped from approximately 60% in 1975 to 55% in 2007. However, for emergency responders dealing with extrication, steel is the key component for the vehicles structural framework and the primary material of interest. Further, in just two years from 2007 to 2009, it is estimated that the overall use of AHSS has increased as much as 5% in the average passenger vehicle, based on the increased use of these materials for vehicle body components, closures, bumpers, wheels, suspensions, and subframes.³³

—— Page 33 of 54 ——



Figure 5-1: Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 1975³¹



Figure 5-2: Average Percent Content of Motor Vehicle Materials in 2007³²

The trend is for the increased use of HSS in future vehicle models, and the likelihood of emergency responders seeing these materials at typical motor vehicle crashes is increasing. The "FutureSteelVehicle" (FSV) program is an initiative through the Steel Market Development Institute, which is promoting the use of steel body designs with structures that reduce mass by more than 35 percent but comply with all applicable crash and durability requirements. Figure 5-3 illustrates the types of steels that are offered in one particular package of the new FSV program and that can be expected to be seen in more and more new vehicles.³⁴



Figure 5-3: Types of Steels in "FutureSteelVehicles" (FSV) Program

The prominent use of materials like HSS in vehicles is not altogether new. For specialty applications (race cars for instance), a significant portion of the vehicle utilizes these materials. Emergency responders who work at motorsport venues are already familiar with the need for extrication tools adequate for their application.³⁵

Likewise, some manufacturers are already using these materials for mainstream motor vehicles. One example is Volvo, which is credited as one of the first manufacturers to incorporate HSS and AHSS into their mainstream commercial vehicles. It is estimated that by 2020 close to a third of all vehicles on the roadway will be using appreciable amounts of HSS and composite materials.³⁶

The variations in steel properties are what continue to make it the most used material in automobile design. There are many variables to consider and balance when choosing which material would be best for a design, including strength, workability, durability, weight, and cost effectiveness. These properties often offset each other. For example, depending on the circumstance, while a particular material may be the strongest (e.g. martensitic-phase steel), it may not be the most cost-effective solution.

Use of Materials in Motor Vehicles

There is a multitude of ways to categorize steel properties and characteristics. The myriad of different names and classifications are often confusing, with similar names describing the same materials and individual auto manufacturers using different names to describe the same strength category of a particular steel.

For example, a common term often used by emergency responders to refer to AHSS is "boron" or "boron steels." Boron is the fifth element in the periodic table of elements and has properties that are borderline metal-nonmetal.³⁷ With steel blends, boron is used as a strengthener. Steels that include boron are generally very high strength, and are sometimes referred to as "boron-carbide" or "boron-steels."

The overall landscape of the types of steels used in motor vehicles can generally be separated into four basic categories. These groupings recognize the steels' increasing tensile strength, which ranges from low to high as follows:³⁸

- mild steels (MILD);
- high-strength steels (HSS);
- high-strength low-alloy steels (HSLA); and
- ultra high-strength steels (UHSS), or advanced high-strength steels (AHSS).

The four categories of steel have seen traditional use in certain areas and components of modern vehicles. Figure 5-4 illustrates of the use of these materials in a typical modern passenger automobile. In general, the key components that are of interest to emergency responders during extrication scenarios have been increasing in strength.



Figure 5-4: Typical Use of Steels in Passenger Automobiles³⁹ (used with permission)

The use of mild or low-strength steels has typically been for vehicle section areas such as rocker panels, floor pans, and fenders. Conventional high-strength steels are usually used in hoods, door skins, and quarter panels and are made from slightly stronger metals.⁴⁰

High-strength low-alloy steel is typically the material of choice for the pillar posts, side members, front and upper rails, and the shock tower supports. Ultra high-strength steels, the strongest types of steel, are generally used in side-impact door beams and reinforcement bars.

—— Page 36 of 54 ——

Some common types of UHSS are boron, martensitic, and some transformation induced plasticity (TRIP) and dual-phase (DP) steels. These UHSS metals are also considered to be advanced high-strength steels.⁴¹

What is the future of new steel materials in motor vehicles? An initiative currently underway, the Steel Market Development Institute's "FutureSteelVehicle," or FSV, symbolizes the increasing display of enhancements. The FSV program features steel body designs with structures that reduce mass by more than 35 percent and total life cycle emissions by nearly 70 percent when compared to a benchmark vehicle, all while meeting a broad list of global crash and durability requirements.⁴²

The FSV program is the most recent addition to the global steel industry's series of light-weight initiatives offering steel solutions to automakers around the world. FSV follows the "Ultra-Light Steel Auto Body" program (1998), the "Ultra-Light Steel Auto Closures" program (2000), the "Ultra-Light Steel Auto Suspension" program (2000), and the "ULSAB-Advanced Vehicle Concepts" program (2001).⁴³

Automobile design metallurgists compare and contrast these steels based on different physical characteristics. One common approach to comparing the differences in the types of steel is to graph the materials tensile strength (MPa) versus total elongation (%). Figure 5-5 visually compares the material properties for the types of steel commonly used in motor vehicles.⁴⁴ Design professionals use this type of chart as a key comparison tool to address structural materials for motor vehicles.



Figure 5-5: Comparison of Material Properties for Types of Steel Used in Motor Vehicles⁴⁶ (used with permission)

For any particular type of steel, the interrelationship of the various material properties is noteworthy. For example, a characteristic of UHSS is that as the tensile strength of the material increases, the ductility decreases, making it less bendable and workable. Yet compared to other types of steel, the characteristic of spring back (based on higher yield strength) is better in UHSS than in mainstream sheet steels."⁴⁵

Figure 5-5 is a widely referenced graphic depiction that illustrates the critical properties of the steels of interest in this study. However, it offers more information than necessary for emergency responders. For that reason, a simplified version of the same information is offered in Figure 5-6, Tensile Strength for Types of Steels Used in Motor Vehicles. The simplified figure does not include the physical property of total elongation, which is not necessary for this discussion. The types of materials addressed in Figure 5-5 and Figure 5-6 are:

- IF: Interstitial-Free Steel
- MILD: Mild Steel
- IF-HS: Interstitial-Free High-Strength Steel
- IS: Isotropic Steel
- BH: Bake Hardenable Steel
- CMn: Carbon-Manganese Steel
- HSLA: High-Strength Low Alloy Steel
- DP-CP: Dual Phase Complex Phase Steel
- TRIP: Transformation-Induced Plasticity Steel
- MART: Martensitic-Phase Steel



—— Page 38 of 54 ——

Certain materials other than steel are also growing in usage within the auto industry, including, for example, aluminum, plastics, and composite materials. Much of this increased usage has to do with the low weight of those materials, although they do have other desirable qualities, such as formability and cost to manufacture. While these alternative materials are increasing, plastic and aluminum account for only about 16% of the average 2007 car's total composition, compared with steel, which comprised roughly 55% of the total composition.⁴⁷

For structural materials, aluminum is commonly found in space frame structures, exterior body panels, and structural components as energy absorbers. This is more common in higher-priced, lower-volume vehicles. Typically, using aluminum instead of conventional steel will result in a large decrease in weight, but it is not as economical for most high-volume mass produced vehicles and can be as much as four times the cost. In a similar fashion, composites such as carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) can offer the same tensile strengths of conventional steel for just 25% of the weight, but estimated material costs can be as much as 30 times the price.⁴⁸

The use of plastics in vehicles has nearly doubled in use in recent years due to new manufacturing methods that have decreased cost and increased versatility. For emergency responders, these materials generally support safer vehicles (based on increased safety standards), although they may require different tactics for handling during a particular emergency (i.e., plastics during a fire). The relative cost of manufacturing with a certain material is a prime factor in the magnitude of that material's usage. The relative costs of certain widely used materials found in today's motor vehicles are summarized in Table 5-2, Approximate Relative Cost of Motor Vehicle Materials.⁴⁹

Tuble 5 2. Approximate Relative et	
Matarial Tuna	Approximate Relative Cost
масенантуре	
Hot Rolled Carbon	0.80
Cold Rolled Carbon	1.00
Bake Hardenable	1.10
Hot Dipped Galvanized	1.12
HSLA	1.15
Aluminized	1.21
Electrogalvanized	1.35
Dual Phase	1.40
Martensitic	1.50
Ferritic Stainless Type 409	2.60
Martensitic Stainless Type 410	2.80
Aluminum Sheet Type 5052	4.80
Austenitic Stainless Type 304	5.70
PH Stainless I7-4	9.00
CFRP	30.00

Table 5-2: Approximate Relative Cost of Motor Vehicle Materials⁵⁰

Use with Extrication Scenarios and Tasks

The increased use of HSS and AHSS in today's vehicles has resulted in safer overall vehicles, though it has in parallel resulted in the need for different methods and approaches by those servicing the vehicles, rescue and repair professionals alike. The increased strength of these materials is utilized in the new methods for crash safety where the front and rear sections of a car are meant to crumple when impacted to lessen the shock aspect of the crash, whereas the passenger compartment must be more resistant to impact to reduce risk to the occupant.

The design of today's automobiles focuses on safety of occupants during a crash. As a result, the passengers of a motor vehicle involved in a crash today are more likely to be protected due to the structural integrity of the vehicle. Conversely, because of this added protection, if a crash victim needs to be extricated, the challenges for emergency responders are magnified. The "golden hour," so-called because of its criticality in getting a crash victim stabilized with full medical attention, will further test the skills and resources of emergency responders.

The higher tensile strengths of today's materials used in portions of the motor vehicle where rescue tools are likely to cut or shear are requiring greater force to be effective. In some cases, conventional powered rescue tools that work effectively on older vehicles are not effective in late-model vehicles. Emergency response personnel can be deceived by their training activities, which use older vehicles obtained from the local salvage yard, not newer models that typically appear on the roadway today.

For rescuers, the main issue arises when they cannot quickly and efficiently extract an occupant due to their conventional tools, for example, being unable to cut through the materials used around the passenger compartment. Oftentimes during a crash, the doors become unusable, and either the doors or the roof must be removed with powered rescue tools. While AHSS had been used for quite some time in other areas of vehicles such as underneath the car, today it is being used for vehicle components that are more likely to be within the extrication cut zones, such as the A-post, the B-post, and roof sections of the vehicle.

Composite materials are also creating special challenges.⁵¹ Multiple layers of different materials that have superior strength characteristics are used in hollow core or layered-sheet designs to minimize weight. Use of a shearing-type tool tends to collapse hollow-core arrangements of composite materials, creating a solid mass of high-strength materials that can exceed the performance ability of the particular tool. It is speculated that, in some cases, certain composite materials forced together under extremely high pressure, for example, during the shearing or cutting process, chemically change phase into materials with superior properties.

More specifically, with older vehicles the properties of mild steels would cause the sections of steel to bend and crumple together, and the mild steel could be considered a large solid section of steel forced together under extremely high pressure, i.e., during the shearing or cutting

—— Page 40 of 54 ——

process variant only by the thickness of material. In newer vehicles with composite materials, the scenario changes slightly in that the steels do not crush together to be cut like the mild steels. AHSSs have such a high tensile strength that they behave differently during extreme conditions such as being cut after being crumpled.

6. FIELD INVENTORY OF POWERED RESCUE TOOLS

Within the fire service today, it is common practice that when a department purchases new equipment, their older equipment is often resold to other departments that need upgraded equipment but do not have the funds to purchase new equipment. This cycling of equipment means that equipment used in the field, if taken care of properly can be as old as 30 years or more.⁵²

While older equipment can still be used on mild steels in older vehicles or on the weak points of new vehicles, it can be significantly challenged to cut or shear most HSS and AHSS. Manufacturers of powered rescue tool are aware of these challenges and are keeping pace with the latest available equipment, but it will take time for new tools to infiltrate throughout the existing field inventory of powered rescue tools. For example, emphasized attention is being given to performance details such as the forces created by the blade thickness and design, so as to maximize the tool's overall efficiency and effectiveness.⁵³

Tool Types and Capabilities

A wide range of different types of recue tools are available for today's emergency responders. The number of different tools is significant (i.e., in the hundreds). These tools are often grouped for convenience, and one approach is according to the methods or sources used to power the device. An overview of the different tools is provided in Figure 6-1, Families of Rescue Tools.⁵⁴

The different tools logically separate into three basic groups according to primary power sources. First are the powered rescue tools that use pressurized fluid (including pressurized gas/compressed air) supported by an external pressure pump via hose lines, with the most common liquid fluids being hydraulic or mineral oil. Second is the wide range of tools that have a self-contained motorized power source, such as a gasoline engine, compressed gas, or an electric motor. Finally, there are numerous tools that are directly powered by human energy, such as hand tools and tools directly mounted on vehicles, like a winch.

The tools of greatest interest for situations of extrication involving HSS and composite materials are those involved with cutting and shearing. Powered rescue tools that perform pushing, pulling or spreading functions generally remain effective according to their original performance intentions, despite the higher-strength materials. The same is not true for tools that cut or shear-- in some cases, they are simply unable to perform their intended tasks.



Figure 6-1: Families of Rescue Tools⁵⁵

To realistically narrow the scope of this study, and because there are so many different tools in each family of tools, this study will focus on the tools that perform cutting and shearing functions. The types of tools that are the focus of this study are illustrated in Figure 6-2, Types of Rescue Tools of Interest.

Of primary interest are the powered cutters in the hydraulic powered rescue tool family. They are the key focus since they are the most powerful of readily-available mainstream cutting and shearing tools. Also of interest are air chisels in the pneumatic air tool family, powered reciprocating/rotating saws (e.g., motorized circular saws), and hand saws. However, even though these other tools are not excluded from the scope of this review, the primary focus is on the powered cutters within the family of hydraulic powered rescue tools.

As a general rule, powered cutters purchased before 2008 can be expected to be severely challenged by the HSS and composite materials in today's motor vehicles.⁵⁶ Several variables will understandably influence this basic guideline, such as the particular tool design and type of steel being cut. Looking at the other tools in today's emergency responder arsenal, air chisels and powered reciprocating saws are typically challenged by high-strength steels due to the lack of durability of their bits and blades. Powered rotating saws are able to cut steel that is high strength, but their dependability for extrications is normally considered impractical based on the shower of sparks they create.⁵⁷



Figure 6-2: Types of Rescue Tools of Interest⁵⁸

For powered rescue tools there are a number of characteristics that further define this equipment. One approach is to classify this equipment based on the following four main categories:

- High-Pressure Hydraulics (10,500 psi),
- Low-Pressure Hydraulics (5,000 psi),
- Electric Powered, and
- Hand-Pump Operated.

Each category draws the initial pressure from a different source, but each has - benefits as well. The high-pressure and low-pressure tools require a hydraulic pump to pressurize the system and continuously flow fluid through the system to push the piston. While this often creates continuous higher forces as a result, applications in which accessibility is an issue may view the pump as a large bulky item to carry over long distances or in tight spaces.

A newer option on the market, electric tools offer mobility without being attached to a hydraulic hose. While electric tools have certain positive characteristics such as portability, they also have limitations, such as a limited energy source for the internal pump. Many manufacturers have taken this into account by using multi-use batteries, which can be replaced when they run low. Another common solution is the ability to directly connect to an available electrical outlet to have a continuous flow of energy.

The last type, handpump-operated tools, require more work from the operator to manually create the pressures required for the cutter. The benefit is that they are very mobile and do not require any external input to perform their functions.

Each category offers its own unique advantages and disadvantage. Normally emergency response units are equipped with multiple types of tools to provide a full set of options to ensure a well-rounded response capability.

For powered rescue tools that perform cutting and shearing functions, various design details influence the effectiveness and efficiency of the particular tool. For example, a key feature for any powered rescue cutting tool is the blade design. Details such as the blades thickness, shape, and construction material can significantly influence the overall performance of the tool. The design details of the cutting blades are at a level of focus below that provided in national standards such as NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*.⁵⁹

Further, the blades are required to be of materials that harder and stronger than the toughest materials to which they are applied. Boron steel, for example, is extremely hard, to the point where it must be fractured rather than cut, which means the blades in the rescue tools must be more hard but less brittle in order to fracture through the boron. While the blade material is important, the blade's shape and design are often just as important to ensure that the material is drawn into the pivot point of the blades where the forces will always be at their maximum.

Collection Methods and Available Data

For an emergency responder's consumer perspective, powered rescue tools are often compared using three main categories: performance, reliability, and compatibility.⁶⁰ Performance is a key consideration and will vary greatly among tools, based on factors such as unit weight, blade design, power type, pressure created, and functionability of the tool for the intended application. The performance aspect, while it can be interpreted in part using manufacturer's specifications for the equipment, is best evaluated through actual live product demonstrations.

The second category is the reliability not only of the tool itself but of the resources that support the tool, such as the manufacturer and vendors of the tool. Considerations include the warranty programs, service support, repair resources, and so on. Compatibility is a feature that addresses user functional characteristics, such as the ability to use the tool with the department's other tools and methods.

The information collected on currently available rescue tools is included in Table 6-1, Summary of Powered Rescue Tools for Cutting and Shearing. This table lists currently available cutting and shearing tools, with a focus on hydraulic powered equipment, though not excluding other applicable cutting and shearing tools (e.g., hand tools).

Ideally, a general assessment of powered rescue tools would include estimates of how many tools exist in the current field inventory used by fire departments. It would be valuable information to know the numbers of tools based on their year of manufacture that are still in use. However, this requires a well-designed survey or similar approach, which is beyond the scope of resources available to this particular study.

			Max Cutting	Niax Cutter						
			Force	Opening		NFPA	1936 Ra	ating		AHSS
Manf.	Series	Model	(lbs)	(in)	Α	В	С	D	E	Capable
		JL-500	N/A	7.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		MOC-Ultra	195,126	5.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
	5000 psi	JL-MOC	156,236	11.06	7	7	7	7	8	N/A
	Cutters	JL-MOC II	152,870	7	7	9	6	7	8	Yes
		X-Tractor II	72,000	6	6	7	6	7	7	no
		X-Tractor S	94,000	9	6	7	6	7	7	no
		S 700	N/A	7.28	8	9	8	9	9	N/A
		S 511	N/A	5.9	8	8	7	8	9	Yes
	High	S 510	169,000	7.2	8	9	7	8	9	Yes
	Pressure	S 530	166,000	11.1	8	7	7	8	9	Yes
	(10,500psi)	S 330	114,500	8.9	7	7	7	8	8	N/A
Hurst	Cutters	S 311	95,000	6	7	8	6	7	7	N/A
Jaws of		S 120	41,140	2.04	4	3	2	3	3	no
Life		LS 330 FI	114,500	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5,000psi Combo Units	ML-16S II	60,000	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		LKE 55	56,100	N/A	5	5	5	7	7	no
		X-Tractor C	81,381	10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		MOC Combi	120,272	12.23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	High Pressure (10,000psi) Combo Units	SC 550	120,300	16.9	7	9	7	9	9	N/A
		SC 350	85,430	N/A	6	7	7	7	7	no
		LKS 31	45,800	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		LKE 55	56,100	N/A	5	5	5	7	7	no
		LKS 35 FI	94,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	eDraulic	S 700E	N/A	7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Cutters	S 311E	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5 500 psi	BFC-295 -LP	295,000	7.62	7	8	8	8	8	N/A
	Cutters	SLC-28-LP	156,000	7.62	7	8	6	7	6	N/A
		SLC-24-LP	118,800	5	6	5	6	7	6	N/A
		BFC-320	320,000	7.75	8	8	9	9	9	yes
	High	SLC-29	269,000	7.62	7	8	7	8	8	yes
TNT	Pressure	SLC-28	156,000	7.62	7	8	6	7	6	N/A
	(10,500psi)	SLC-27	118,800	7.62	6	5	6	7	6	yes
	Cutters	SLC-24	118,800	5	6	5	6	7	6	N/A
		CSC-40	35,000	1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5,500psi	SLCC-20-LP	118,800	N/A	6	5	7	7	6	N/A
	Combo Units	SLCC-30-LP	118,800	N/A	6	5	7	7	6	N/A

Table 6-1: Summary of Powered Rescue Tools for Cutting and Shearing

—— Page 46 of 54 ——

			Max Cutting	Max Cutter						
			Force	Opening		NFPA	1936 Ra	ating		AHSS
Manf.	Series	Model	(lbs)	(in)	Α	В	С	D	E	Capable
	High	SLCC-20	118,800	N/A	6	5	7	7	6	N/A
	Pressure (10,500psi) Combo Units	SLCC-30	118,800		6	5	7	7	6	N/A
		C-270 T-Rex	157,000	11	7	8	7	8	9	yes
		C-236 Cutter	236,250	8	8	9	8	9	9	yes
		C-231Liberty	231,000	6.5	8	9	7	9	9	yes
		All 9	369,000	7.1	9	9	9	9	9	yes
	Cuttors	C-180Raptor	90,000	7	7	7	7	8	9	no
	(10 E00pci)	C-165Patriot	144,000	6.4	8	6	6	7	9	yes
	(10,500psi)	C-160 T-Rex	110,000	6.5	7	7	6	9	9	no
Ganasis		C-130 Eagle	90,000	5.13	7	6	6	8	9	no
Rescue		C-50 Mini	31,000	1.8	4	3	2	4	3	no
Systems		C-30 Mini	31,000	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	no
oyoteino		Mass Transit	69,300	4.7	4	3	5	6	6	no
	Combo	16c Brute	112,000	16.7	7	9	7	9	9	yes
	Tools	14c Vario	102,600	14.2	6	8	6	8	8	no
	(10,500psi)	13c Vario	58,000	13.2	6	7	6	8	7	no
	Electric	F-C180 Rapt	90,000	7	6	7	7	8	9	no
	Powered	F-C130	90,000	5.13	6	6	6	8	9	no
	Cutters (10,000psi)	F-13C Freed	58,000	13.2	6	7	6	8	7	no
		AMK-21A	110,000	5.2	7	4	5	6	8	N/A
		AMK-21	72,000	6	6	5	5	6	6	no
	High Pressure	AMK-22	200,807	5	7	6	7	7	8	yes
		AMK-25	60,000	4.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	(10,500psi)	AMK-25E	60,000	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
Amkus	Cutters	AMK-25S	60,000	6.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
Rescue		AMK-25P	60,000	2.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
Systems		Mini Max	15,000	1.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	High	AMK-C15	57,000	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Pressure (10,000psi) Combo Units	AMK-25C	57,000	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		4055 NCT	228,855	8.6	8	7	7	8	8	yes
		4050NCT	208,000	7.125	8	7	7	8	9	N/A
		4035NCT	57,320	5.66	6	2	6	5	6	no
Halm 1	High	4035	67,600	9.375	6	7	6	7	6	no
Holmatro	Pressure	4031	67,600	12	6	7	5	7	5	no
	(10,500psi)	4020	63,400	5.25	6	3	5	6	5	no
	Cutters	3010	56,920	5.75	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		CU4007Mini	49,458	2.1875	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		3005 Mini	29,225	1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		SMC4006	43,500	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no

—— Page 47 of 54 ——

Mart	Contra-	BA -del	Max Cutting Force	Max Cutter Opening		NFPA	1936 Ra	ating		AHSS
Ivlant.	Series	Model	(IDS)	(in)	A	В	C	D	E	Capable
	High Pressure (10,000psi) Combo Units	4150 3120	67, 600 49,000	14.25	6 N/A	7 N/A	5 N/A	7 N/A	5 N/A	no
	Electric	BCT4120	49,000	10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Powered (10,500psi)	BCU 4010	56,920	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Hand	HMC8UMini	N/A	1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Operated	HCU 3010	56,900	5.75	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Tools	HCT 3120	49,000	10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
		C-50 Mini	31,000							no
			240,000							yes
	High	C-270 T-Rex	157,000							yes
		Mass Transit	69,300							no
	Pressure		65,000							no
	(10,500psi)	C-160 T-Rex	110,000							no
	Cutters	C-165Patriot	144,000							yes
Weber-		All 9	369,000							yes
Hydraulic		C-236 Cutter	236,250							yes
	High Pressure (10,500psi) Combo Units	14c Vario	102,600							no
		16c Brute	112,000							yes
	Hand Operated Tools		65,000							no
	5000 psi	G2 Cutter	76,409	7.0625	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no
	Cutters	G4 Cutter	109,669	7.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	High	G6 Cutter	285,600	8.3465	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	yes
Roc O	Pressure	G6s Cutter	285,600	11.1417	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	yes
Tec	(10,500psi) Cutters	G6W Cutter	246,400	7.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	yes
Zuitiro	E 005	FX2	76,409	7.6875	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	S,000psi	FX4	109,669	12.625	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Combo Units	FX6	315,000	11.81	8	8	7	8	8	yes
		Q1	41,440	2.36	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

7. SUMMARY OBSERVATIONS

The landscape is changing for the fire service use of powered rescue tools. For motor vehicle extrication, the growing trend in recent years has been the use of advanced high-strength steels and composite materials. There are indications that this trend will continue.

The use of these materials is appearing in all new passenger vehicle makes and models due to new safety standards and marketplace incentives for fuel efficiency. This applies to all of today's passenger vehicles and is not a trend limited to new alternative-fueled vehicles such as those using propane, natural gas, electric, and hybrid propulsion.

Up until about 2006 through 2008, powered rescue tools could, in most cases, effectively accomplish their cutting or shearing tasks for vehicle extrication tasks. Since that time, the HSS and composite materials now being used in passenger motor vehicles are more than doubling the amount of cutting force required. The powered rescue tools available today are evolving to meet the significant challenges of the latest materials, but older existing tools that make up the bulk of the existing field inventory are understandably limited with these new materials.⁶¹

It is beyond the resources available to this study to compile an actual inventory of the powered rescue tools in question. However, this would be ideal information for the emergency response community. In 1994 the USFA funded a study of new technologies in vehicle extrication, including a limited survey of fire department use of powered rescue tools.⁶² Although this information is now dated and thus of limited value, it provides an excellent model for designing a new survey as part of a follow-up study by others. This would provide useful information for how much of the existing field inventory of powered rescue tools will or will not be effective for modern passenger motor vehicles.

The following are the key summary observations that have been discussed throughout this report:

Extrication Scenarios and Tasks

- Extrication from passenger motor vehicles are the most likely scenario confronting emergency responders involving high-strength steels and composite materials that will challenge the cutting and shearing effectiveness of powered rescue tools.
- The fire service and other emergency responders need to identify alternative methods to work around extrication emergencies when the available powered rescue tools are unable to perform their intended use.
- Standard-setting bodies need to review and update the test methods currently used for evaluating powered rescue tools that cut and shear, so that they provide a meaningful distinction that informs end users of which tools will work with which types of modern vehicles.

High-Strength Alloys and Composite Materials

- Passenger motor vehicles since the 2006 through 2008 time frame have seen a noteworthy increase in the use of high-strength steels and composite materials, which can be expected to significantly challenge the effectiveness of powered rescue tools that cut and shear.
- Future use of high-strength steels and composite materials is expected to increase in future vehicles, thus, the probability that fire departments will encounter these materials also will increase.
- Practical, credible and consistent information is needed from vehicle manufacturers on the use of materials of concern to emergency responders.
- The types of steels and other materials should be categorized to simplify the materials of interest for vehicle extrication.
- Future use of new materials should be communicated on a regular basis between vehicle designers and the emergency response community.

Field Inventory of Powered Rescue Tools

- The current inventory of powered rescue tools is assumed to be extensive and three or more decades in age, based on equipment being resold from one department to another and ultimately remaining in service.
- Concern exists that a significant portion of the existing field inventory of powered rescue tools for cutting and shearing will not be effective on passenger motor vehicles manufactured later than the 2006 through 2008 time frame.
- Clarification is needed for emergency responders on the specific attributes of powered rescue tools that are important, based on sound, credibly-established technical information (i.e., not simply spun out of the marketplace).
- Consideration should be given to implementing a comprehensive survey that will realistically identify the quantity and quality of existing equipment in the field inventory of powered rescue tools.

8. BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following reference sources are cited throughout this report:

- 1) NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2010
- NFPA Press Release," "NFPA collaborates with Chevrolet and OnStar to provide Electric Vehicle Safety Training," National Fire Protection Association, 26 August 2010, website: <u>www.nfpa.org/newsReleaseDetails.asp?categoryid=2163&itemId=48663</u>, cited; 5 July 2011
- 3) NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*, Section 3.3.26, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2010
- 4) USFA, "New Technologies in Vehicle Extrication, United States Fire Administration, FEMA, FA-152, Chapter 4, September 1994
- 5) Wright, R., "Organizing Rescue Operations," *Fire Protection Handbook*, 20th edition, Section 13, Chapter 2,, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2008, pp. 13-14
- "Government of the United States," U.S. Dept. of the Interior, website: <u>www.doi.gov</u>, cited: 13 May 2011
- 7) "The Bill of Rights," National Archives and Records Administration, website: www.archives.gov/exhibits/charters/bill_of_rights_transcript.htm, cited 13 May 2011
- 8) Seaton, M., "Saving Taxpayers' Money with Voluntary Consensus Standards," NFPA Journal, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, November 1996, pp. 40-42
- 9) Cote, A.E., Grant, C.C., "Codes and Standards for the Built Environment," *Fire Protection Handbook*, 20th edition, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, Section 1, Chapter 3, Tables 1.3.3 & 1.3.4, 2008, pp. 1-61 & 1-62
- 10) Toth, R.B., "Standards Activities of Organizations in the United States," NIST Special Publication 806, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 1996
- 11) NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*, Origin and Development, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2010 edition
- 12) ANSI, "U.S. Conformity Assessment System: National Conformity Assessment Principles for the U.S.," American National Standards Institute, NY, NY, website: <u>www.standardsportal.org/usa en/conformity assessment/conformity assessment.aspx</u>, cited: 25 August 2011
- 13) UL 745-3, *Standard for Safety for Portable Battery Operated Tools*, Underwriters Laboratories, Northbrook, IL, 2007
- 14) NFPA 1936, *Standard on Powered Rescue Tools*, Origin and Development, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2010 edition, pp. 16-23
- 15) ibid, pp. 20-21
- 16) ibid, p. 21
- 17) Haddon, C., "Rescue Tool Blades Are Not Created Equally," *Fire Apparatus & Emergency Equipment*, Pennwell Corporation, Volume 15, Issue 2, 2011, website: <u>http://www.fireapparatusmagazine.com/index/display/article-</u>

display/8552314022/articles/fire-apparatus/volume-16/issue-02/departments/to-therescue/rescue-tool-blades-are-not-created-equally.html, cited: 25 August 2011

- 18) Moditech Rescue Solutions, website: <u>www.crashrecoverysystem.com</u>, cited: 25 August 2011
- 19) "Hazardous Materials Commonly Found in Vehicles," U.S. Dept of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, HazMat Safety Community, January 2008, web

<u>www.phmsa.dot.gov/staticfiles/PHMSA/DownloadableFiles/Files/dot_car_flyer.pdf</u>, cited: 23 November 2009

- 20) Moore, R., "Vehicle Rescue and Extrication," Chapter 6, Mosby Year Book, St Louis MO, 1991, p. 126
- 21) Wimer, D.R., "Hybrid Vehicle Incidents," Size Up, New York State Association of Fire Chiefs, Issue 3, 2009, pgs 30-32, website: <u>www.nysfirechiefs.com/Sizeupmagazine.php</u>, cited: 26 February 2010
- 22) ibid
- 23) NFPA 1670, Standard on Operations and Training for Technical Search and Rescue Incidents, Chapter 8 Vehicle and Machinery Search and Rescue, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2009 Edition
- 24) ibid
- 25) AISI, "Automotive Steel Design Manual," American Iron Steel Institute Auto/Steel Partnership, August 2002, Rev 6.1, Chapter 2, website: <u>www.a-sp.org/database/default.asp?doc=28</u>, cited: 14 June 2011
- 26) WorldAutoSteel, "Steel Basics: Steel Types," World Steel Association, Middletown OH, website: www.worldautosteel.org/SteelBasics/Steel-Types.aspx, cited: 15 June 2011
- 27) USFA, "New Technologies in Vehicle Extrication, United States Fire Administration, FEMA, FA-152, September 1994, pp. 5-12
- 28) Hall, J., "Evolution of Advanced High Strength Steels in Automotive Applications," Presentation at Joint Policy Council, Auto/Steel Partnership, 18 May 2011
- 29) WorldAutoSteel, "Steel Basics: Steel Types," World Steel Association, Middletown OH, website: www.worldautosteel.org/SteelBasics/Steel-Types.aspx, cited: 15 June 2011
- 30) Hall, J., "Evolution of Advanced High Strength Steels in Automotive Applications," Presentation at Joint Policy Council, Auto/Steel Partnership, 18 May 2011
- 31) ibid
- 32) ibid
- 33) "New Study Finds Increased Use of Advanced High-Strength Steels," Steel Market Development Institute, AutoSteel, 13 May 2009, website: <u>www.autosteel.org/sitecore/content/Global/Document%20Types/News/2009/Auto%20-%20New%20Study%20Finds%20Increased%20Use%20of%20Advanced%20High-Strength%20Steels.aspx, cited: 18 July 2011</u>
- 34) "FutureSteelVehicle Overview," Steel Market Development Institute, May 2011, website: <u>www.autosteel.org/~/media/Files/Autosteel/Programs/FutureSteelVehicle/FSV%20-</u> <u>%200verview%20Report%20-%20rev1.ashx</u>, cited 18 August 2011
- 35) NFPA 610, "*Guide for Emergency and Safety Operations at Motorsports Venues*," National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2009 edition

—— Page 52 of 54 ——

- 36) Geck, P., "Advanced High-Strength Steels Add Strength and Ductility to Vehicle Design," *MachineDesign.com*, 3 May 2010, website: <u>machinedesign.com/article/advanced-high-</u> <u>strength-steels-add-strength-and-ductility-to-vehicle-design-0503</u>, cited: 27 July 2011
- 37) "The Periodic Table," *WebElements*, University of Sheffield, website: <u>www.webelements.com/boron</u>, cited: 18 July 2011
- 38) Edgar, J., "Steel Identification Using Hardness Testing," AutoSpeed, Issue 462, 12 January 2008, website: <u>autospeed.com/cms/title Steel-Identification-Using-Hardness-Testing/A</u> <u>109717/article.html</u>, cited: 6 July 2011
- 39) "FutureSteelVehicle Overview," Steel Market Development Institute, May 2011, p. 5, website: <u>www.autosteel.org/~/media/Files/Autosteel/Programs/FutureSteelVehicle/FSV</u> <u>%20-%20Overview%20Report%20-%20rev1.ashx</u>, cited 18 August 2011
- 40) Edgar, J., "Steel Identification Using Hardness Testing," AutoSpeed, Issue 462, 12 January 2008, website: <u>autospeed.com/cms/title_Steel-Identification-Using-Hardness-Testing/A_109717/article.html</u>, cited: 6 July 2011
- 41) "Automotive Steel Design Manual" American Iron and Steel Institute, August 2002, Revision 6.1, website: <u>www.scribd.com/doc/22126140/Sae-Automotive-Steel-Design-Manual</u>, cited: 27 July 2011
- 42) "FutureSteelVehicle Overview," Steel Market Development Institute, website: <u>www.autosteel.org/en/Programs/Future%20Steel%20Vehicle.aspx</u>, cited 18 August 2011
- 43) "FutureSteelVehicle Overview Report," Steel Market Development Institute, May 2011, website: <u>www.autosteel.org/~/media/Files/Autosteel/Programs/FutureSteelVehicle/</u> <u>FSV%20-%20Overview%20Report%20-%20rev1.ashx</u>, cited 18 August 2011
- 44) "Evolving AHSS Types," WorldAutoSteel, website: <u>www.worldautosteel.org/</u> <u>SteelBasics/Steel-Types/Evolving-Types.aspx</u>, cited 11 August 2011
- 45) "Automotive Steel Design Manual" American Iron and Steel Institute, August 2002, Revision 6.1, website: <u>www.scribd.com/doc/22126140/Sae-Automotive-Steel-Design-Manual</u>, cited: 27 July 2011
- 46) "Evolving AHSS Types," WorldAutoSteel, website: <u>www.worldautosteel.org/SteelBasics</u> /<u>Steel-Types/Evolving-Types.aspx</u>, cited 11 August 2011
- 47) Hall, J., "Evolution of Advanced High Strength Steels in Automotive Applications," Presentation at Joint Policy Council, Auto/Steel Partnership, 18 May 2011
- 48) Magna Steyr, "Study prepared for the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers Implementation of Current & Advanced Materials & Technologies," Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers, 21 December 2006
- 49) "Automotive Steel Design Manual" American Iron and Steel Institute, August 2002, Revision 6.1, website: <u>www.scribd.com/doc/22126140/Sae-Automotive-Steel-Design-Manual</u>, cited: 27 July 2011
- 50) ibid
- 51) Moore, R.L., "The Challenges of Advanced High-Strength Steels at Rescue and Extrication Incidents," Steel Market Institute, website: <u>http://www.autosteel.org/Research/</u><u>Safety.aspx</u>, cited: 11 August 2011
- 52) USFA, "New Technologies in Vehicle Extrication, United States Fire Administration, FEMA, FA-152, Chap 4, September 1994

- 53) Moore R., "Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles Part 4," *Firehouse Magazine*, August 2009, pp. 33
- 54) Moore, R., "Vehicle Rescue and Extrication," *Mosby Year Book*, Chapter 6, St Louis, MO, 1991
- 55) ibid
- 56) Moore R., "Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles Part 4," *Firehouse Magazine*, August 2009, pp. 33-34
- 57) Moore R., "Extrication Challenges of Advanced Steel in Vehicles Part 3," *Firehouse Magazine*, July 2009, pp. 47-51
- 58) Moore, R., "Vehicle Rescue and Extrication," *Mosby Year Book*, Chapter 6, St Louis, MO, 1991
- 59) Haddon, C., "Rescue Tool Blades are Not Created Equally," Fire Apparatus Magazine, 2010
- 60) Shaw, R., "How to Buy Extrication Tools, Cutters and Spreaders," *FireRescue1*, 27 October 2009, website: <u>www.firerescue1.com/fire-products/Extrication-Tools-Cutters-and-Spreaders/ articles/600569-How-to-buy-extrication-tools-cutters-and-spreaders/</u>, cited: 15 June 2011
- 61) Haddon, C., "Rescue Tool Blades Are Not Created Equally," *Fire Apparatus & Emergency Equipment*, Pennwell Corporation, Volume 15, Issue 2, 2011, website: www.fireapparatusmagazine.com/index/display/article-display/8552314022/articles/fire-apparatus/volume-16/issue-02/departments/to-the-rescue/rescue-tool-blades-are-not-created-equally.html, cited: 25 August 2011
- 62) USFA, "New Technologies in Vehicle Extrication, United States Fire Administration, FEMA, FA-152, Chap 4, September 1994